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#### Abstract

We consider shift-invariant multiresolution spaces generated by rotation-covariant functions $\rho$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. To construct corresponding scaling and wavelet functions, $\rho$ has to be localized with an appropriate multiplier, such that the localized version is an element of $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$. We consider several classes of multipliers and show a new method to improve regularity and decay properties of the corresponding scaling functions and wavelets. The wavelets are complex-valued functions, which are approximately rotation-covariant and therefore behave as Wirtinger differential operators. Moreover, our class of multipliers gives a novel approach for the construction of polyharmonic B-splines with better polynomial reconstruction properties.
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## 1 Shift-invariance and rotation-covariance

A multiresolution analysis of $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ is specified by a sequence of shift-invariant closed subspaces

$$
\{0\} \subset \ldots \subset V_{-1} \subset V_{0} \subset V_{1} \subset \ldots \subset L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)
$$

satisfying the following properties:
(i) $\overline{\bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} V_{j}}=L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right) \quad$ and $\bigcap_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} V_{j}=\{0\}$.
(ii) There is a dilation matrix $A \in G L(2, \mathbb{R})$ that 1.) leaves $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ invariant, i.e., $A \mathbb{Z}^{2} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{2}$, and 2.) has eigenvalues $1<\left|\lambda_{1}\right| \leq\left|\lambda_{2}\right|$, such that

$$
f \in V_{j} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad f\left(A^{-j} \bullet\right) \in V_{0}
$$

(iii) There is a scaling function $\varphi \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$, whose integer translates form a Riesz basis of $V_{0}$ :

$$
V_{0}=\overline{\operatorname{span}\left\{\varphi(\bullet-k) \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}\right\}}
$$

Here we will investigate multiresolution analyses that are generated by rotationcovariant functions. By rotation-covariance we mean that a rotation of the argument of a function $f: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ gets translated into a rotation of the functions' value in the complex plane:

Definition 1 Let $f: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a function. Denote by $R_{\theta}: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ the rotation operator with respect to the angle $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$

$$
x=\binom{x_{1}}{x_{2}} \mapsto R_{\theta} x=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \theta & \sin \theta  \tag{1}\\
-\sin \theta & \cos \theta
\end{array}\right)\binom{x_{1}}{x_{2}} .
$$

The function $f$ is called rotation-covariant if there exists a constant $N \in \mathbb{Z}$, which is independent of $x$ and $\theta$, such that

$$
f\left(R_{\theta} x\right)=f(x) \cdot e^{i N \theta}
$$

Rotation-covariant atoms have the remarkable property of encoding rotations of the analyzed function in the phase only. Let $\phi$ be a rotation-covariant atom. Denote by $R_{\theta} f=f\left(R_{\theta} \bullet\right)$. Then

$$
\left\langle R_{-\theta} f, \phi\right\rangle=\left\langle f, R_{\theta} \phi\right\rangle=\langle f, \phi\rangle \cdot e^{-i N \theta} .
$$

As a consequence the moduli of the coefficients are not affected by rotations of $f$.

Our purpose in this work is to define and characterize a new family of multiresolution analyses with corresponding wavelets using such functions as elementary building blocks. A multidimensional wavelet analysis typically involves templates (or basis functions) that are shifted and dilated versions of a scaling function $\varphi$ and some elementary wavelets $\psi_{i}$; these are often chosen to be separable for simplicity [1]. Since shift- and rotation-invariance are highly desirable properties for image processing, we aim at constructing complex, non-separable wavelet bases that exhibit a high degree of rotation-covariance. Ideally, this would provide a wavelet analysis where the magnitude of the wavelet coefficients would characterize the amount of local image variation (contour or singularity at a given location) irrespective of the orientation, while the phase would extract the directional information. The advantage of such a transform is that it would allow us to perform transform domain processing by primarily operating on the moduli of the coefficients - that is essentially rotation-invariant. While exact rotation invariance is incompatible ${ }^{1}$ with the requirement of having a wavelet basis of $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$, we will show here that this property can at least be enforced qualitatively. Specifically, we will display new quincunx wavelet bases that essentially behave like multi-scale versions of Wirtinger operators (cf. Proposition 16), which are rotation-covariant and closely related to the gradient [2-4].

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we start with the definition of a sequence of spaces $\left\{V_{j}\right\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ spanned by shifts of a rotation-covariant function $\rho$. In the next section, we give conditions for the sequence $\left\{V_{j}\right\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ to form a multiresolution analysis for all dilation matrices $A$ that operate as scaled rotation. Since multiresolution analyses are defined with respect to fixed regular grids $A^{j} \mathbb{Z}^{2}, j \in \mathbb{Z}$, exact rotation-covariance is not possible for $\varphi$. However, the construction of approximately rotation-covariant scaling functions is possible. In Section 4, we describe several classes of admissible localizing multipliers. It turns out that there are no continuous complex ones. We define a new class of multipliers which yield higher regularity of decomposition filters and wavelets. They also improve smoothness and polynomial reproduction properties of classical polyharmonic B-splines. Thus, the order of approximation and the decay are considered in Sections 5-7. We close the paper with remarks on implementation and a summary.
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## 2 Generating function

To generate an approximately rotation-covariant multiresolution analysis, we start with a perfectly rotation-covariant function $\rho$ and consider the shiftinvariant space that is generated by integer shifts of $\rho$. We define $\rho$ in the sense of distributions by

$$
\widehat{\rho}\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right):=\frac{1}{\left(\omega_{1}^{2}+\omega_{2}^{2}\right)^{\alpha}\left(\omega_{1}-i \omega_{2}\right)^{N}} \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}(\Omega) .
$$

where $\Omega=\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash B_{\varepsilon}\{(0,0)\}, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{0}^{+}, N \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha+N>1$, and $B_{\varepsilon}\{(0,0)\}$ is a small $\varepsilon$-neighborhood of the origin. Then $\widehat{\rho}\left(R_{\theta} \omega\right)=e^{-i N \theta} \widehat{\rho}(\omega)$ for $\omega=\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)$ and thus $\rho\left(R_{\theta} x\right)=e^{-i N \theta} \rho(x)$ for $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$. I.e., $\rho$ as well as its Fourier transform $\hat{\rho}$ are rotation-covariant. We consider the integer shift-invariant space $V:=$ $\overline{\operatorname{span}}\left\{\rho(\bullet-k) \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}\right\} \subset \mathcal{S}^{\prime}(\Omega)$ generated by integer shifts of $\rho$. Our aim is to generate multiresolution analyses of $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$. Since $\hat{\rho} \notin L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right), \rho \notin L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ as well, since the Fourier transform is an isometric isomorphism on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$. Therefore we have to "localize" $\rho$ in order to get a scaling function $\varphi$ that is an element of $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$.

### 2.1 Localized generating functions

The function $\hat{\rho}$ has a singularity of order $2 \alpha+N$ at the origin and thus is not square-integrable. Therefore, to satisfy property (iii) of a multiresolution analysis, we need to identify a function $\varphi \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$, whose translates generate an integer translation invariant subspace $V_{0}$ of $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ :

$$
V_{0}=\overline{\operatorname{span}\left\{\varphi(\bullet-k) \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}\right\}^{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)} . . . ~}
$$

This is achieved by localizing the generating function $\rho$; i.e., by finding a sequence $\left\{c_{k}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}}$ such that

$$
\varphi=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}} c_{k} \rho(\bullet+k) \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right) .
$$

To this end, we eliminate the singularity of $\hat{\rho}$ at the origin by multiplying the function by an appropriate bounded $(2 \pi, 2 \pi)$-periodic function $\nu$; for example, the trigonometric polynomial

$$
\nu\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)=\left(4\left(\sin ^{2} \frac{\omega_{1}}{2}+\sin ^{2} \frac{\omega_{2}}{2}\right)\right)^{\alpha+\frac{N}{2}} .
$$

Proposition 2 Let $\nu$ be a ( $2 \pi, 2 \pi$ )-periodic function, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\varphi}\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)=\frac{\nu\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)}{\left(\omega_{1}^{2}+\omega_{2}^{2}\right)^{\alpha} \cdot\left(\omega_{1}-i \omega_{2}\right)^{N}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is bounded at $\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)=(0,0)$.
(i) Then $\widehat{\varphi}$ is square-integrable for $\alpha+\frac{N}{2}>\frac{1}{2}$, and has fast decay

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\widehat{\varphi}\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)\right|=\mathcal{O}\left(\left\|\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)\right\|^{-2 \alpha-N}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\left\|\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)\right\| \rightarrow \infty$.
For the space domain representation $\varphi$, the following properties hold:
(ii) It is $\varphi \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ with explicit space domain representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(x)=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}} \nu_{k} \rho(x+k) \quad \text { for almost all } \quad x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $\left(\nu_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ denotes the sequence of Fourier coefficients of the $(2 \pi, 2 \pi)-$ periodic multiplier $\nu\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)$ and $\rho$ is the inverse Fourier transform of the Hadamard partie finie $\operatorname{Pf}(\widehat{\rho})$. (See Section 2.2.)
(iii) For $s<2 \alpha+N-1$ the function $\varphi$ is element of the Sobolev space

$$
\varphi \in W_{2}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)
$$

(iv) If $\alpha+\frac{N}{2}>1$, the function $\varphi$ is bounded, uniformly continuous, and vanishes at infinity.
(v) Let $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{2}$ denote a multi-index, $\omega^{\beta}=\omega_{1}^{\beta_{1}} \omega_{2}^{\beta_{2}}$ be the corresponding monomial, and $D^{\beta}=\frac{\partial^{\beta_{1}}}{\partial x^{\beta_{1}}} \frac{\partial^{\beta_{2}}}{\partial x^{\beta_{2}}}$ the corresponding differential operator.

Then $(\bullet)^{\beta} \widehat{\varphi} \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$, as long as $2 \alpha+N-|\beta|>2$.
In this case, $D^{\beta} \varphi$ is bounded, uniformly continuous, and vanishes at infinity.

PROOF. (i) is obvious. (ii) follows from Fourier inversion. (iii) follows from the decay properties of $\hat{\varphi}$. (iv) For $\alpha+\frac{N}{2}>1$ the function $\hat{\varphi} \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$. The claim follows by the inverse Fourier transform. The same arguments yield (v).

Note 1 If $\alpha+\frac{N}{2} \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\nu$ is a trigonometric polynomial, then $\varphi \in C^{2 \alpha-2+N}$. In this case, $\nu$ has finitely many non-vanishing Fourier coefficients. Thus by (4), $\varphi$ is a finite sum of shifted $\rho \in C^{2 \alpha-2+N}$.

### 2.2 Explicit representation of $\rho$

We now give an explicit representation of $\rho$, i.e., the inverse Fourier transform of the Hadamard partie finie, $\operatorname{Pf}(\hat{\rho})$.

Proposition 3 For $\alpha \notin \mathbb{N}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=d_{1}\left(x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}\right)^{\alpha-1}\left(x_{1}+i x_{2}\right)^{N} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \quad= \\
& =d_{2}\left(x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}\right)^{\alpha-1}\left(x_{1}+i x_{2}\right)^{N}\left(\ln \pi \sqrt{x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}}+d_{3}\right) \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

for coefficients $d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3} \in \mathbb{C}$ depending on $\alpha$ and $N$ only.

PROOF. Consider

$$
\hat{\rho}_{\alpha, N}\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right):=\frac{1}{\left(\omega_{1}^{2}+\omega_{2}^{2}\right)^{\alpha}\left(\omega_{1}-i \omega_{2}\right)^{N}} .
$$

Then the recurrence

$$
\left(\omega_{1}+i \omega_{2}\right)^{N} \hat{\rho}_{\alpha+N, 0}\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)=\hat{\rho}_{\alpha, N}\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)
$$

holds. We are seeking the inverse Fourier transform of $\hat{\rho}=\widehat{\rho}_{\alpha, N}$. Since the function is not integrable in a neighborhood of the origin for $2 \alpha+N \geq 2$, we consider the Hadamard partie finie $\operatorname{Pf}\left(\widehat{\rho}_{\alpha, N}\right)$ [5,6]. This can be omitted for $2 \alpha+N<2$, because it does not change the result.

The polynomial $\left(\omega_{1}+i \omega_{2}\right)^{N}$ acts as a differential operator of Wirtinger type in the space domain:

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho_{\alpha, N}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) & =\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left\{\operatorname{Pf}\left(\widehat{\rho}_{\alpha, N}\right)\right\}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \\
& =\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left\{\operatorname{Pf}\left(\left(\omega_{1}+i \omega_{2}\right)^{N} \widehat{\rho}_{\alpha+N, 0}\right)\right\}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \\
& =\left(-i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}+\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}\right)^{N} \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left\{\operatorname{Pf}\left(\widehat{\rho}_{\alpha+N, 0}\right)\right\}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \\
& =\left(-i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}+\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}\right)^{N}\left(\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{2}} \mathcal{F}\left\{\operatorname{Pf}\left(\widehat{\rho}_{\alpha+N, 0}\right)\right\}\left(-x_{1},-x_{2}\right)\right) . \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, $\mathcal{F}$ denotes the Fourier transform operator. For radial functions of the variable $r \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$(see e.g. [5, pp. 44 ff . and 257 ff .]),

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\mathcal{F}\left(r^{m}\right) & =\frac{1}{\pi^{m+1}} \cdot \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{m+2}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(-\frac{m}{2}\right)} r^{-(m+2)} & \text { for } 0>\operatorname{Re} m>-2, \\
\mathcal{F}\left(P f\left(r^{m}\right)\right) & =\frac{1}{\pi^{m+1}} \cdot \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{m+2}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(-\frac{m}{2}\right)} r^{-(m+2)} & \text { for } \operatorname{Re} m<-2, \\
\mathcal{F}\left(r^{m}\right) & =\frac{1}{\pi^{m+1}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{m+2}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(-\frac{m}{2}\right)} P f\left(r^{-(m+2)}\right) \quad \text { for } \operatorname{Re} m>0,
\end{array}
$$

as long as $m \notin \mathbb{Z}$. In the case $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, further terms-logarithmic factors or differential operators - have to be added; this we shall consider later.

First we consider the case $\alpha \notin \mathbb{N}$. The equations above yield with $m=-2(\alpha+$ $N$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{F}\left\{\operatorname{Pf}\left(\widehat{\rho}_{\alpha+N, 0}\right)\right\}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\left.\mathcal{F}\left\{\operatorname{Pf}\left(\frac{1}{r^{2(\alpha+N)}}\right)\right\}\right|_{r=\sqrt{x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}}} \\
& =\left.\frac{1}{\pi^{-2(\alpha+N)+1}} \cdot \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{-2(\alpha+N)+2}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(-\frac{-2(\alpha+N)}{2}\right)} r^{-(-2(\alpha+N)+2)}\right|_{r=\sqrt{x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}}} \\
& =\pi^{2(\alpha+N)-1} \frac{\Gamma(-(\alpha+N)+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha+N)}\left(x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}\right)^{\alpha+N-1} . \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

In the space domain we consider $u_{\alpha, N}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right):=\left(x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}\right)^{\alpha}\left(x_{1}+i x_{2}\right)^{N}$. This function fulfills the recursion formula

$$
\left(-i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}+\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}\right) u_{\alpha, N}=(-2 i \alpha) u_{\alpha-1, N+1} .
$$

For $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(-i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}+\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}\right)^{k} u_{\alpha+N-1,0}=(-2 i)^{k} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+N-1)}{\Gamma(\alpha+N-1-k)} u_{\alpha+N-1-k, k} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (7), (8) and (9) we get

$$
\rho\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\rho_{\alpha, N}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=d_{1}(\alpha, N)\left(x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}\right)^{\alpha-1}\left(x_{1}+i x_{2}\right)^{N}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{1}(\alpha, N)=\frac{\pi^{2(\alpha+N-1)-1}}{4}(-2 i)^{N} \frac{\Gamma(-(\alpha+N)+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha+N)} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+N-1)}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

This gives (5).
Now, we come to the second case $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$. For $h \in \mathbb{N}_{0}:=\{0,1,2, \ldots\}[5]$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}\left\{\operatorname{Pf}\left(\frac{1}{r^{2+2 h}}\right)\right\}= & \frac{\pi^{1+2 h}}{\Gamma(1+h)} \cdot 2 \frac{(-1)^{h}}{h!} r^{2 h} \times \\
& \left(\ln \frac{1}{\pi r}+\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\frac{1}{2}+\ldots+\frac{1}{h}-\gamma\right)+\frac{1}{2} \frac{\Gamma^{\prime}(1+h)}{\Gamma(1+h)}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, $\gamma=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k}-\ln n\right)=0.5772 \ldots$ is the Euler-Mascheroni constant. For $h=0$ the sum $1+\frac{1}{2}+\ldots+\frac{1}{h}$ must be replaced by zero. Hence, for $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$, we have with (7) and $h=\alpha+N-1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho_{\alpha, N}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)= \\
& =\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left\{\operatorname{Pf}\left(\widehat{\rho}_{\alpha, N}\right)\right\}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \\
& =\left(-i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}+\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}\right)^{N}\left(\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{2}} \mathcal{F}\left\{\operatorname{Pf}\left(\widehat{\rho}_{\alpha+N, 0}\right)\right\}\left(-x_{1},-x_{2}\right)\right) \\
& =\left(-i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}+\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}\right)^{N}\left(\left.\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{2}} \mathcal{F}\left\{\operatorname{Pf}\left(\frac{1}{r^{2(\alpha+N)}}\right)\right\}\right|_{r=\sqrt{x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}}}\right) \\
& =\left(-i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}+\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}\right)^{N}\left(c_{1}(\alpha, N)\left(x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}\right)^{\alpha+N-1} \times\right. \\
& \left.\left[\ln \frac{1}{\pi \sqrt{x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}}}+c_{2}(\alpha, N)\right]\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
c_{1}(\alpha, N)=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{2}} \frac{\pi^{2(\alpha+N-1)+1}}{\Gamma(\alpha+N)} 2 \frac{(-1)^{\alpha+N-1}}{(\alpha+N-1)!}
$$

and

$$
c_{2}(\alpha, N)=\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\frac{1}{2}+\ldots+\frac{1}{\alpha+N-1}-\gamma\right)+\frac{1}{2} \frac{\Gamma^{\prime}(\alpha+N)}{\Gamma(\alpha+N)} .
$$

Then the Leibniz formula yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho_{\alpha, N}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)= \\
&= c_{1}(\alpha, N) c_{2}(\alpha, N)\left(-i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}+\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}\right)^{N} u_{\alpha+N-1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \\
&-c_{1}(\alpha, N)\left(-i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}+\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}\right)^{N}\left(u_{\alpha+N-1,0}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \ln \left(\pi \sqrt{x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}}\right)\right) \\
&= c_{1}(\alpha, N) c_{2}(\alpha, N)(-2 i)^{N} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+N-1)}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} u_{\alpha-1, N}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \\
&-c_{1}(\alpha, N) \sum_{k=0}^{N}\binom{N}{k}(-2 i)^{k} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+N-1)}{\Gamma(\alpha+N-1-k)} u_{\alpha+N-1-k, k}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \times \\
&\left(-i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}+\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}\right)^{N-k} \ln \left(\pi \sqrt{x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\left(-i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}+\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}\right)^{k} \ln \left(\pi \sqrt{x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}}\right)=\beta(k) \frac{1}{\left(x_{1}-i x_{2}\right)^{k}},
$$

with $\beta(1)=-i$ and $\beta(k+1)=2 i k \beta(k)=(2 i)^{k} k!(-i)$. This can be seen by induction.

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho_{\alpha, N}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)= \\
& =c_{3}(\alpha, N) u_{\alpha-1, N}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \ln \left(\pi \sqrt{x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}}\right)+c_{4}(\alpha, N) u_{\alpha-1, N}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
c_{3}(\alpha, N)=-c_{1}(\alpha, N)(-2 i)^{N} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+N-1)}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{4}(\alpha, N)= & c_{1}(\alpha, N) \Gamma(\alpha+N-1)(-2 i)^{N} \times \\
& \left(\frac{c_{2}(\alpha, N)}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)}-\sum_{k=0}^{N-1}\binom{N}{k}(-1)^{k} \frac{(N-k)!(-i)}{\Gamma(\alpha+N-1-k)}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This gives (6) with $d_{2}(\alpha, N)=c_{3}(\alpha, N)$ and $d_{3}(\alpha, N)=c_{4}(\alpha, N) / c_{3}(\alpha, N)$.

## 3 Multiresolution analyses

Now we show that the function $\varphi$ constructed in (2) is a valid scaling function, and that it generates a multiresolution analysis of $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ for a set of dilation matrices. We state the conditions for $\varphi$ to be a scaling function, and specify the corresponding multipliers $\nu$.

The function $\varphi$ in (4) satisfies a refinement relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi\left(A^{-1} \bullet\right)=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}} h_{k} \varphi(\bullet-k) \quad \text { in } L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a set of dilation matrices $A$. Consider the scaled rotation matrices $A \in$ $G L(2, \mathbb{R})$ of the form

$$
A=\binom{a}{-b}=\sqrt{|\operatorname{det} A|}\left(\begin{array}{r}
\cos \theta \sin \theta  \tag{12}\\
-\sin \theta \\
\cos \theta
\end{array}\right)=\sqrt{a^{2}+b^{2}}\binom{\cos \theta \sin \theta}{-\sin \theta \cos \theta},
$$

with $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, \theta=\arccos \frac{a}{\sqrt{a^{2}+b^{2}}}$, and eigenvalues $1<\left|\lambda_{1}\right|=\left|\lambda_{2}\right|$. This includes the quincunx case $a=b=1$. Relation (11) is equivalent to the existence of a $2 \pi \mathbb{Z}^{2}$-periodic function $H \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$ of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
H\left(e^{i \omega}\right) & =|\operatorname{det} A| \frac{\widehat{\varphi}\left(A^{T} \omega\right)}{\widehat{\varphi}(\omega)}=|\operatorname{det} A| \frac{\widehat{\rho}\left(A^{T} \omega\right) \nu\left(A^{T} \omega\right)}{\widehat{\rho}(\omega) \nu(\omega)} \\
& =\frac{\nu\left(A^{T} \omega\right)}{\nu(\omega)} \cdot \frac{1}{\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)^{\alpha-1}(a-i b)^{N}}, \quad \omega \in \mathbb{R}^{2} . \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

The function $H$ is a refinement filter with Fourier coefficients $h_{k}$ defined in (11). We can formulate for general multipliers $\nu$ :

Theorem 4 Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ be fixed. Let the multiplier $\nu\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)$ be a bounded, $2 \pi \mathbb{Z}^{2}$-periodic function in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ that fulfills the following properties:
(i) $\left|\frac{\nu\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)}{\left(\omega_{1}^{2}+\omega_{2}^{2}\right)^{\alpha}\left(\omega_{1}-i \omega_{2}\right)^{N}}\right| \rightarrow c$ for $\left\|\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)\right\| \rightarrow 0$, and a positive constant $c$.
(ii) $\nu\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right) \neq 0$ for all $\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right) \in[-\pi, \pi]^{2} \backslash\{(0,0)\}$.

Then $\hat{\varphi}=\nu \cdot \hat{\rho}$ is the Fourier transform of a scaling function $\varphi$ which generates a multiresolution analysis $\left\{V_{j}\right\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ with dilation matrix $A$ :

$$
V_{j}=\overline{\operatorname{span}\left\{|\operatorname{det} A|^{j / 2} \varphi\left(A^{j} \bullet-k\right), k \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}\right\}} .
$$

Note 2 This construction of localizing homogeneous polynomials $\rho^{-1}(\omega)=$ $p(\omega)=\sum_{|\beta|=m} a_{\beta} \omega^{\beta}, \omega \in \mathbb{R}^{2}, \beta$ multi-index with $|\beta|=m>2$, is similar to the one for real-valued polyharmonic $B$-splines in [7]. However, condition (i) in our Theorem 4 is weeker than the respective condition in [7, (1.17)]. In fact, there it is supposed that $\nu(\omega)-\rho^{-1}(\omega)=\mathcal{O}\left(\|\omega\|_{\infty}^{m+1+n}\right)$ for $\omega \rightarrow \infty$ and some $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$. In our case, for real-valued multipliers $\nu$ and $N \geq 1$, this condition is never met. Nonetheless, we generate valid multiresolution analyses.

Before we prove Theorem 4, we show that the translates of $\varphi$ form a Riesz sequence; i.e., a Riesz basis in their span:

Proposition 5 Under the assumptions of Theorem 4, the autocorrelation function

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(\omega):=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}}|\widehat{\varphi}(\omega+2 \pi k)|^{2} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

is bounded by

$$
\begin{align*}
0<c & \leq \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}}|\widehat{\varphi}(\omega+2 \pi k)|^{2} \\
& \leq C+B\left(\frac{2 \pi}{4 \alpha+2 N-2}+8 \zeta(4 \alpha+2 N)+3 \cdot 4^{2 \alpha+N}\right) \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

where $c$ is the minimum of $\left|\frac{\nu\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)}{\left(\omega_{1}^{2}+\omega_{2}^{2}\right)^{\alpha}\left(\omega_{1}-i \omega_{2}\right)^{N}}\right|$, $C$ the maximum of the same term, and $B$ the maximum of $|\nu|$ in $[-\pi, \pi]^{2}$, respectively. The function $\zeta$ denotes the Riemann zeta function.

PROOF. We show that $\{\varphi(\bullet-k), k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a Riesz sequence by bounding the autocorrelation function. First, we estimate the function $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}} \mid \widehat{\varphi}(\omega+$ $2 \pi k)\left.\right|^{2}$ almost everywhere from above. Because of its $(2 \pi, 2 \pi)$-periodicity, it is sufficient to consider $\omega \in[-\pi, \pi]^{2}$ only.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}}|\widehat{\varphi}(\omega+2 \pi k)|^{2}= \\
& =\left|\frac{\nu\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)}{\left(\omega_{1}^{2}+\omega_{2}^{2}\right)^{\alpha}\left(\omega_{1}-i \omega_{2}\right)^{N}}\right|^{2}+\sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{2} \\
k \neq(0,0)}} \frac{\left|\nu\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)\right|^{2}}{\left|\left(\omega_{1}+2 \pi k_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(\omega_{2}+2 \pi k_{2}\right)^{2}\right|^{\alpha+\frac{N}{2}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

By (i), the first term is bounded for all $\omega \in[-\pi, \pi]^{2}$, since the singularity of
the denominator is cancelled by $\nu$ :

$$
\left|\frac{\nu\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)}{\left(\omega_{1}^{2}+\omega_{2}^{2}\right)^{\alpha}\left(\omega_{1}-i \omega_{2}\right)^{N}}\right|^{2}<C
$$

for some bound $C \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$. Since the trigonometric polynomial $\nu$ is bounded, there is a positive constant $B \geq\left|\nu\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)\right|^{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}}|\widehat{\varphi}(\omega+2 \pi k)|^{2}= \\
& \leq C+B \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{2} \backslash\{(0,0)\}}\left|\frac{1}{\left(\omega_{1}+2 \pi k_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(\omega_{2}+2 \pi k_{2}\right)^{2}}\right|^{2 \alpha+N}  \tag{16}\\
& \leq C+B\left(\frac{2 \pi}{4 \alpha+2 N-2}+8 \zeta(4 \alpha+2 N)+3 \cdot 4^{2 \alpha+N}\right) \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

This is deduced using the fact that the sum in (16) is invariant with respect to the transforms $\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right) \mapsto\left( \pm \omega_{1}, \pm \omega_{2}\right)$. Thus it is sufficient to consider this function for $\omega \in[0, \pi]^{2}$ only. Splitting the sum in (16) into sums over each of the four quadrants, and considering the axes $k_{1}=0$ and $k_{2}=0$ separately yields (17). The sum $\sum_{k_{1}>0} \frac{1}{k_{1}^{4 \alpha+N}}=\zeta(4 \alpha+N)$, where $\zeta(x)=\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n^{x}}$ denotes Riemann's zeta-function, which exists for $x>1$, and converges to 1 for $x \rightarrow \infty$. The sum $\sum_{k_{1}>0, k_{2}>0} \frac{1}{\left(k_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}\right)^{2 \alpha+N}}$ is a lower Riemann sum for the integral

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{1}^{\infty} \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{2 \alpha+N}} d x d y \leq \\
& \leq \int_{1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{1}{\left(r^{2}\right)^{2 \alpha+N}} r d \varphi d r=\frac{\pi}{2} \int_{1}^{\infty} r^{-4 \alpha-2 N+1} d r=\frac{\pi}{2} \frac{1}{4 \alpha+2 N-2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The autocorrelation function is strictly positive:

$$
\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}}|\widehat{\varphi}(\omega+2 \pi k)|^{2} \geq\left|\frac{\nu\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)}{\left(\omega_{1}^{2}+\omega_{2}^{2}\right)^{\alpha}\left(\omega_{1}-i \omega_{2}\right)^{N}}\right|^{2} \quad>\quad 0,
$$

since at the point $(0,0)$ the value is positive by (i) and there are no other zeros of $\nu$ in $[-\pi, \pi]^{2}$ by (ii).

PROOF of Theorem 4. It only remains to show that the union of the spaces $V_{j}=\operatorname{span}\left\{\varphi\left(A^{j} \bullet-k\right), k \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}, j \in \mathbb{Z}$, is dense in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ for all dilation
matrices $A$ of the form (12). By (15) the translates $\left\{\varphi(\bullet-k), k \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}\right\}$ form a Riesz sequence in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$. Thus the function $\varphi$ can be orthonormalized by suitable normalization in the frequency domain:

$$
\widehat{\Phi}=\frac{\hat{\varphi}}{\sqrt{M}}=\frac{\hat{\varphi}}{\sqrt{\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}}|\hat{\varphi}(\bullet+2 \pi k)|^{2}}}
$$

Then the integer shifts of $\Phi$ form an orthonormal basis of $V_{0}$. Moreover, $|\widehat{\Phi}(0,0)|=1$ and $|\widehat{\Phi}|$ is continuous in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. Thus, the Riesz basis condition together with a density argument gives the result following the method of proof in [8]. Specifically, we denote by $P_{j}: L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right) \rightarrow V_{j}$ the orthonormal projection. Let

$$
g \in G:=\left\{f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right), \widehat{f} \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right), \widehat{f} \text { has compact support }\right\} .
$$

$G$ is dense in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$. There exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\operatorname{supp} \hat{g} \in\left[-2^{n} \pi, 2^{n} \pi\right]$. Using Parseval's equality, we deduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|g-P_{j} g\right\|^{2} & \left.=\|g\|^{2}-\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}}|\langle g,| \operatorname{det} A|^{\frac{j}{2}} \Phi\left(A^{j} \bullet-k\right)\right\rangle\left.\right|^{2} \\
& =\|g\|^{2}-\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{2}}\left\|\hat{g} \overline{\hat{\Phi}}\left(A^{-j} \bullet\right)\right\|^{2} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { for } j \rightarrow \infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves the theorem.

## 4 Several solutions for choosing localization filters for nearly rotationcovariant scaling functions

As mentioned before, the $2 \pi \mathbb{Z}^{2}$-periodic function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu_{1}\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)=\left(4\left(\sin ^{2}\left(\frac{\omega_{1}}{2}\right)+\sin ^{2}\left(\frac{\omega_{2}}{2}\right)\right)\right)^{\alpha+\frac{N}{2}} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a valid multiplier, since $\nu_{1}$ makes the singularity of $\widehat{\rho}$ at the origin integrable. Moreover, $\nu_{1}$ is non-negative, and vanishes only on the grid $2 \pi \mathbb{Z}^{2}$. The trigonometric polynomial has regularity $\nu_{1} \in C^{\lfloor 2 \alpha+N\rfloor}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$, and behaves as $\nu_{1}\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)=\left(\omega_{1}^{2}+\omega_{2}^{2}\right)^{\alpha+N / 2}+\mathcal{O}\left(\|\omega\|^{2 \alpha+N+1}\right)$ in a neighborhood of the origin. The rotation-invariant terms influences the rotation-covariance of scaling functions and wavelets. To examine this more thorougly, we define:

Definition 6 Let $f: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be continuous. Let $g$ be a radial polynomial, i.e., $g(r)=a_{0}+a_{1} r+\ldots+a_{m} r^{m}$ with constant coefficients $a_{0}, \ldots, a_{m} \in \mathbb{C}$. In polar coordinates $\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)=(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta)$, let

$$
f(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta)-g(r)=R_{m}(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta) r^{m+1}
$$

for some bounded rest term $R_{m}(r \sin \theta, r \cos \theta)$ with

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} R_{m}(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta) \neq 0
$$

Then $f$ is called approximately rotation-invariant of order $m$ in a neighborhood of the origin.

Remark 7 If $f$ is approximately rotation-invariant of order $m$, then the $k$-th radial derivatives $\frac{\partial}{\partial r} f(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta), 0 \leq k \leq m-1$, are still approximately rotation-invariant of order $m-k$.

Example 8(i) The multiplier (18) is of the form $\nu_{1}=\left(\eta_{1}\right)^{\alpha+\frac{N}{2}}$ with

$$
\begin{align*}
\eta_{1}\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right) & =4\left(\sin ^{2}\left(\frac{\omega_{1}}{2}\right)+\sin ^{2}\left(\frac{\omega_{2}}{2}\right)\right) \\
& =4\left(\sin ^{2}\left(\frac{r \cos \varphi}{2}\right)+\sin ^{2}\left(\frac{r \sin \theta}{2}\right)\right) \\
& =r^{2}-\frac{\cos (4 \theta)+3}{48} r^{4}+R_{5}(r \sin \theta, r \cos \theta) r^{6} . \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

This trigonometric polynomial is approximately rotation-invariant of order 3 in a neighborhood of the origin.
(ii) Another possible choice for such a trigonometric polynomial is

$$
\begin{align*}
\eta_{2}\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)= & \frac{8}{3}\left(\sin ^{2}\left(\frac{\omega_{1}}{2}\right)+\sin ^{2}\left(\frac{\omega_{2}}{2}\right)\right) \\
& +\frac{2}{3}\left(\sin ^{2}\left(\frac{\omega_{1}+\omega_{2}}{2}\right)+\sin ^{2}\left(\frac{\omega_{1}-\omega_{2}}{2}\right)\right) \\
= & r^{2}-\frac{1}{12} r^{4}+\frac{5-\cos 4 \theta}{1440} r^{6}+R_{7}(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta) r^{8} . \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

This function is approximately rotation-covariant of order 5 in a neighborhood of the origin.

Multipliers of higher order of approximate rotation-invariance can be found by the same formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu=(\eta)^{\alpha+\frac{N}{2}} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

by considering the ansatz

$$
\begin{align*}
\eta\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)= & \sum_{n=1}^{M} a_{n}\left(\sin ^{2 n}\left(\frac{\omega_{1}}{2}\right)+\sin ^{2 n}\left(\frac{\omega_{2}}{2}\right)\right) \\
& +b_{n}\left(\sin ^{2 n}\left(\frac{\omega_{1}+\omega_{2}}{2}\right)+\sin ^{2 n}\left(\frac{\omega_{1}-\omega_{2}}{2}\right)\right) \\
= & r^{2}+\sum_{k=2}^{m} c_{k} r^{2 k}+R_{2 m+1}(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta) r^{2(m+1)}, \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

for $M \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough and $\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)=(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta)$ as above. Then $a_{n}$ and $b_{n}$ can be calculated such that the coefficients $c_{n}$ do not depend on $\theta$. This leads to a system of linear equations, which can be easily solved with e.g. Gauß elimination. Table 1 gives the coefficients for multipliers of order up to 11. They all satisfy the conditions of Theorem 4 , since all $\eta\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)>0$, except for $\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right) \in 2 \pi \mathbb{Z}^{2}$. This can be easily seen by noting $a_{1}>\sum_{k=2}^{M} a_{k} \delta_{-1, \text { sign } a_{k}}$, $b_{1}>\sum_{k=2}^{M} b_{k} \delta_{-1, \operatorname{sign} b_{k}}$ for the negative coefficients, and the fact that $\sin ^{2 k}(x) \geq$ $\sin ^{2(k+1)}(x) \geq 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}, x \in \mathbb{R}$.

| Order | Form of $\eta$ | $a_{1}$ | $b_{1}$ | $a_{2}$ | $b_{2}$ | $a_{3}$ | $b_{3}$ | $a_{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | $r^{2}+\mathcal{O}\left(\\|r\\|^{4}\right)$ | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | $r^{2}-\frac{r^{4}}{12}+\mathcal{O}\left(\\|r\\|^{6}\right)$ | $\frac{8}{3}$ | $\frac{2}{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | $r^{2}-\frac{r^{4}}{10}+\frac{r^{6}}{180}+\mathcal{O}\left(\\|r\\|^{8}\right)$ | $\frac{12}{5}$ | $\frac{4}{5}$ | $-\frac{4}{15}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | $r^{2}-\frac{r^{4}}{9}+\frac{r^{6}}{135}+\mathcal{O}\left(\\|r\\|^{8}\right)$ | $\frac{104}{45}$ | $\frac{38}{45}$ | $-\frac{56}{135}$ | $-\frac{2}{135}$ |  |  |  |
| 9 | $r^{2}-\frac{9}{91} r^{4}+\frac{2}{455} r^{6}+\mathcal{O}\left(\\|r\\|^{10}\right)$ | $\frac{152}{65}$ | $\frac{54}{65}$ | $-\frac{376}{1365}$ | $\frac{6}{455}$ | $-\frac{256}{4095}$ |  |  |
| 9 | $r^{2}-\frac{3}{29} r^{4}+\frac{r^{6}}{203}+\mathcal{O}\left(\\|r\\|^{10}\right)$ | $\frac{200}{87}$ | $\frac{74}{87}$ | $-\frac{88}{261}$ | $\frac{2}{261}$ | $-\frac{2048}{27405}$ | $-\frac{32}{27405}$ |  |
| 11 | $r^{2}-\frac{42}{425} r^{4}+\frac{12}{2975} r^{6}+\mathcal{O}\left(\\|r\\|^{12}\right)$ | $\frac{2932}{1275}$ | $\frac{1084}{1275}$ | $-\frac{44}{153}$ | $\frac{76}{3825}$ | $-\frac{35104}{401625}$ | $\frac{512}{401625}$ | $-\frac{48}{2125}$ |

Table 1
Coefficients in ansatz (22) for multipliers of higher order of rotation-covariance.
With the same ansatz (22), we can construct trigonometric polynomials $\eta$ with terms $r^{2 k}$ vanishing up to a certain order. Table 2 gives some examples with $a_{1}=1$ fixed. Obviously, all those multipliers satisfy the conditions of Theorem 4, since all coefficients are positive. Later, we shall use this special class of $\eta$ to generate smooth lowpass filters and faster decaying wavelets. Moreover, they can be used to generate classical real-valued polyharmonic B-splines with higher smoothness in Fourier domain.

Multipliers of the form (21), (22) are real-valued. Thus $\hat{\varphi}$ is bounded at the origin, but not continuous (see Figure 1). Moreover, $\varphi \notin L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$. Nonetheless, valid multiresolution analyses are generated. For the difference in the order of rotation-covariance and its effects on the scaling functions, compare the graphs in Figure 1. For symmetry reasons, $\varphi(0)=0$ for these multipliers and all $N \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$, since

$$
\widehat{\varphi}\left(-\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)=(-1)^{N} \hat{\varphi}\left(\omega_{1},-\omega_{2}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \widehat{\varphi}\left(\omega_{2}, \omega_{1}\right)=(i)^{N} \widehat{\varphi}\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)
$$

| Form of $\eta$ | $a_{1}$ | $b_{1}$ | $a_{2}$ | $b_{2}$ | $a_{3}$ | $b_{3}$ | $a_{4}$ | $b_{4}$ | $a_{5}$ | $b_{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $r^{2}+\mathcal{O}\left(r^{6}\right)$ | 1 | $\frac{3}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{3}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $r^{2}+\mathcal{O}\left(r^{8}\right)$ | 1 | $\frac{3}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{3}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{8}{45}$ | $\frac{4}{15}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $r^{2}+\mathcal{O}\left(r^{10}\right)$ | 1 | $\frac{3}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{3}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{8}{45}$ | $\frac{4}{15}$ | $\frac{4}{35}$ | $\frac{6}{35}$ |  |  |
| $r^{2}+\mathcal{O}\left(r^{12}\right)$ | 1 | $\frac{3}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{3}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{8}{45}$ | $\frac{4}{15}$ | $\frac{4}{35}$ | $\frac{6}{35}$ | $\frac{128}{1575}$ | $\frac{64}{525}$ |

Table 2
Coefficients in ansatz (22) for trigonometric polynomials $\eta$ of the form $\eta(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta)=r^{2}+\mathcal{O}\left(r^{2 m}\right), m \in \mathbb{N}$.

From Figures 1 and 2 we also see that real (resp. imaginary) part of $\varphi$ in space domain as well as frequency domain behave as edge detectors along the real (resp. the imaginary) axis. Since these directions are perpendicular, rotation reveals edges in every direction.

Note that polyharmonic splines correspond to the special case $N=0$. For a good overview an polyharmonic splines, their properties and variants of polyharmonic splines we refer to [9-11].

Proposition 9 The class of scaling functions of the form $\varphi$ as defined in Theorem 4 with multipliers of the form (21) is closed under convolution.

Let $\varphi=\varphi_{\alpha, N, \eta}$ with $\widehat{\varphi}=(\eta)^{\alpha+N / 2} \widehat{\rho}$.
(i) $\varphi_{\alpha, N, \eta} * \varphi_{\alpha_{1}, N_{1}, \eta}=\varphi_{\alpha+\alpha_{1}, N+N_{1}, \eta}$.
(ii) $\varphi_{\alpha, N, \eta} * \varphi_{\alpha, N, \eta_{1}}=\varphi_{\alpha, N, \eta \eta_{1}}$.

PROOF. This can be directly seen from the Fourier representation of the convolution products.

We can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\varphi}\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right) & =\frac{\left(\eta\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)\right)^{\alpha+N / 2}}{\left(\omega_{1}^{2}+\omega_{2}^{2}\right)^{\alpha}\left(\omega_{1}-i \omega_{2}\right)^{N}} \cdot \frac{\left(\omega_{1}+i \omega_{2}\right)^{N}}{\left(\omega_{1}+i \omega_{2}\right)^{N}} \\
& =\frac{\left(\omega_{1}+i \omega_{2}\right)^{N}}{\left(\omega_{1}^{2}+\omega_{2}^{2}\right)^{N / 2}}\left(\frac{\eta\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)}{\left(\omega_{1}^{2}+\omega_{2}^{2}\right)}\right)^{\alpha+N / 2} \\
& =e^{i N \arg \left(\omega_{1}+i \omega_{2}\right)}\left(\frac{\eta\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)}{\omega_{1}^{2}+\omega_{2}^{2}}\right)^{\alpha+N / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Localization with a multiplier of order 3



Localization with a multiplier of order 5


Fig. 1. The function $\widehat{\varphi}=(\eta)^{\alpha+\frac{N}{2}} \widehat{\rho}$ with $\eta$ of different order of rotation invariance. The parameters are $\alpha=1.5, N=1$. Upper part: $\eta$ as in (19) of order 3. Lower part: $\eta$ as in (20) of order 5. (a) Absolute value. (b) Top view on the absolute value. Larger values are black, zeros are white. (c) Phase. The multiplier of higher order 5 yields a more isotropic $|\widehat{\varphi}|$. (d) Real and (e) imaginary part. The function is discontinuous at the origin.

## Localization with a multiplier of order 3



## Localization with a multiplier of order 5



Fig. 2. The scaling function $\varphi$ in space domain for parameters $\alpha=1.5$ and $N=1$. Upper part: $\eta$ as in (19) of order 3. Lower part: $\eta$ as in (20) of order 5. (a) Absolute value, (b) top view of the absolute value, (c) phase. (d) real and (e) imaginary part. Both functions are rotation-covariant in a neighborhood of the origin. But outside this small neighborhood, the function localized with the multiplier of order 3 clearly shows deficiencies in the homogenity of the phase, and yields a strongly non-isotropic $|\varphi|$. The multiplier of higher order 5 yields a $\varphi$, which has rotationcovariant behaviour in a much larger neighborhood of zero.

Thus $\hat{\varphi}\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)$ is of the form of a polyharmonic B-spline equipped with a phase factor. It has been shown in [12] that the function

$$
\left(\frac{\eta\left(\frac{\omega_{1}}{\sqrt{\gamma}}, \frac{\omega_{2}}{\sqrt{\gamma}}\right)}{\omega_{1}^{2}+\omega_{2}^{2}} \cdot \gamma\right)^{\gamma}
$$

converges to a Gaussian for $\eta=\eta_{2}$ as $\gamma$ increases, whereas for $\eta=\eta_{1}$ this is not the case. In our setting, for $\eta=\eta_{2}, \widehat{\varphi}\left(\frac{\omega_{1}}{\sqrt{\gamma}}, \frac{\omega_{2}}{\sqrt{\gamma}}\right)$ converges to a modulated Gaussian as $\gamma=\alpha+\frac{N}{2}$ increases.

For our ansatz (22) we have

$$
\frac{\eta\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)}{\omega_{1}^{2}+\omega_{2}^{2}}=1+\sum_{k=2}^{m} c_{k}\left(\omega_{1}^{2}+\omega_{2}^{2}\right)^{k-1}+\mathcal{O}\left(\|\omega\|^{2 m}\right)
$$

for $\|\omega\| \rightarrow 0$. In a neighborhood of $x=1$ the logarithm has the asymptotic expansion

$$
\ln x=(x-1)-\frac{(x-1)^{2}}{2}+\frac{(x-1)^{3}}{3} \pm \ldots \pm \frac{(x-1)^{k}}{k}+\mathcal{O}\left(x^{k+1}\right)
$$

for $x \rightarrow 1$. Thus

$$
\ln \left(\frac{\eta\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)}{\omega_{1}^{2}+\omega_{2}^{2}}\right)=c_{2}\left(\omega_{1}^{2}+\omega_{2}^{2}\right)+\sum_{k=3}^{m} d_{k}\left(\omega_{1}^{2}+\omega_{2}^{2}\right)^{k-1}+\mathcal{O}\left(\|\omega\|^{2 m}\right)
$$

and

$$
\frac{\eta\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)}{\omega_{1}^{2}+\omega_{2}^{2}}=\exp \left(c_{2}\left(\omega_{1}^{2}+\omega_{2}^{2}\right)+\sum_{k=3}^{m} d_{k}\left(\omega_{1}^{2}+\omega_{2}^{2}\right)^{k-1}+\mathcal{O}\left(\|\omega\|^{2 m}\right)\right)
$$

for $\|\omega\| \rightarrow 0$ and appropriate coefficients $d_{k} \in \mathbb{R}, k=3, \ldots, m$. Hence, $\widehat{\varphi}\left(\frac{\omega_{1}}{\sqrt{\gamma}}, \frac{\omega_{2}}{\sqrt{\gamma}}\right)$ generated from (22) converges to a modulated Gaussian as $\gamma=$ $\alpha+N / 2$ increases if and only if $c_{2}<0$. Obviously, all multipliers of Table 2 yield scaling functions $\varphi$ that don't converge to Gaussians, whereas all multipliers of Table 1 yield scaling functions $\varphi$ that do converge to Gaussians.

## 5 Decay and order of approximation

### 5.1 Decay

We already saw in Proposition 2 that $\varphi$ is bounded, uniformly continuous and $\lim _{\|x\| \rightarrow \infty}|\varphi(x)|=0$, as long as $\alpha+\frac{N}{2}>1$. This is due to the fact that for these parameters $\alpha$ and $N$ the function $\hat{\varphi}$ is integrable: $\hat{\varphi} \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$. But since $\hat{\varphi}$ is
not continuous, $\varphi \notin L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$. Thus, $\varphi$ decays slower than $\frac{1}{\|x\|^{2}}$ for $\|x\| \rightarrow \infty$. However, since $\hat{\varphi} \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right), \varphi$ decays better $\frac{1}{\|x\|}$ as $\|x\| \rightarrow \infty$. Note that these decay rate bounds are independent of $\alpha$ and $N$. For an illustration see Figure 3.



Fig. 3. The decay of the scaling function $\varphi$ is slower than $1 /\|x\|^{2}$, but faster than $1 /\|x\|$. On the left, $\varphi(x, 0)$ is shown for $N=1$ and various $\alpha \geq 1$. On the right, the branch $\ln \varphi(x, 0) / \ln (x)$ for positive $x$ is given. The dotted lines denote the decay exponents -1 and -2 .

### 5.2 Order of approximation

The space $V_{0}$ provides approximation order $\gamma$ if, for every $f \in W_{2}^{\gamma}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$,

$$
E\left(f, V_{0}, h\right):=\min \left\{\left\|f-s\left(\frac{\bullet}{h}\right)\right\|, s \in V_{0}\right\} \leq \operatorname{const}_{\left(V_{0}\right)} h^{\gamma}\|f\|_{W_{2}^{\gamma}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)} .
$$

Theorem 10 Let $\eta\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)$ be a trigonometric polynomial with Taylor expansion

$$
\eta\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)=\omega_{1}^{2}+\omega_{2}^{2}+\mathcal{O}\left(\|\omega\|^{3}\right)
$$

such that $\nu=\eta^{\alpha+N / 2}$ is the localizing multiplier of the scaling function $\widehat{\varphi}\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)=$ $\nu\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right) /\left(\omega_{1}^{2}+\omega_{2}^{2}\right)^{\alpha} /\left(\omega_{1}+i \omega_{2}\right)^{N}$. Then the space $V_{0}$, which is spanned by $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ shifts of $\varphi$, provides approximation order $2 \alpha+N$.

PROOF. To establish the order of approximation we make use of a result by De Boor et al. [13, Theorem 1.15]. By construction, the function $1 / \widehat{\varphi}$ is bounded on some neighborhood of the orgin. All derivatives of $\hat{\varphi}$ of order less or equal than $\lfloor 2 \alpha+N\rfloor+2$ are in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash B_{\varepsilon}(0,0)\right)$ for some $\varepsilon>0$. Since $\nu$ has zeros of order $2 \alpha+N$ on the grid $2 \pi \mathbb{Z}^{2}$, the derivatives $D^{\gamma} \widehat{\varphi}(\omega)=0$ for all $|\gamma|<2 \alpha+N$ and all $\omega \in 2 \pi \mathbb{Z}^{2} \backslash\{(0,0)\}$. Our result then follows from the theorem of De Boor et al.

## 6 Multiresolution filters

### 6.1 Lowpass filter and convergence of infinite products

Although $\widehat{\varphi}$ has a singularity at the origin, the lowpass filter $H$ is continuous. However, we can establish the following property:

Proposition 11 The modulus $\prod_{j=1}^{\infty}\left|H\left(A^{-j} \omega\right)\right|$ of the infinite product converges pointwise and uniformly on all compact subsets.

PROOF. Let $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ be fixed. Then $|H(0,0)|=1$ and $||H(\omega)|-1|<\|\omega\|^{\varepsilon}$ for some $\varepsilon>0$. It holds that

$$
\prod_{j=1}^{m}\left|H\left(A^{-j} \omega\right)\right|=\exp \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} \ln \left|H\left(A^{-j} \omega\right)\right|\right)
$$

Let $j_{0}$ be such that $\left|\left|H\left(A^{-j} \omega\right)\right|-1\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Then, for $j>j_{0}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ln \left|H\left(A^{-j} \omega\right)\right| & =\ln \left|1+\left|H\left(A^{-j} \omega\right)\right|-1\right| \leq \quad \text { const }\left|\left|H\left(A^{-j} \omega\right)\right|-1\right| \\
& \leq|\operatorname{det} A|^{-j \varepsilon}\|\omega\|^{\varepsilon} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=1}^{N} \ln \left|H\left(A^{-j} \omega\right)\right| & \leq \sum_{j=1}^{j_{0}} \ln \left|H\left(A^{-j} \omega\right)\right|+\text { const } \sum_{j=j_{0}+1}^{m}|\operatorname{det} A|^{-j \varepsilon}\|\omega\|^{\varepsilon} \\
& \leq \text { const }\left(1+\|\omega\|^{\varepsilon} \frac{1}{1-|\operatorname{det} A|^{-\varepsilon}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ on the left hand side proves the pointwise convergence of $\prod_{j=1}^{\infty}\left|H\left(A^{-j} \omega\right)\right|$. By the same arguments, uniform convergence on compacta is true (compare with [14, Satz 2.4.3]).

The infinite product $\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} H\left(A^{-J} \omega\right)$ does not converge pointwise due to the singularity in the origin. Since the phase of $H$ is independent of $\omega$, the phase of the infinite product does not converge, but periodically runs through the finite set $\left\{e^{i k \arg (a+i b)^{N}}\right\}_{k}$.

For example, for the quincunx case with $a=b=1$ and $N=1$, the set $S$ has cardinality $\# S=8: S=\left\{e^{i k \frac{\pi}{4}}: k=0, \ldots, 7\right\}$. For $N=2$, the set $S$ has cardinality $\# S=4: S=\left\{e^{i k \frac{\pi}{2}}: k=0, \ldots, 3\right\}$.

### 6.2 Regularity of the lowpass filter

The smoothness of the lowpass filter $H$ depends on the smoothness and the order of approximate rotation-covariance of the multiplier $\nu$. With an appropriate choice of real-valued multipliers, we can adjust the smoothness of the lowpass filter $H$.

We assume that $\eta \in C^{\infty}$ is a real-valued multiplier, and has zeros at $2 \pi \mathbb{Z}^{2}$. Since $\eta\left(A^{T} \omega\right)$ cancels the zeros of $\eta(\omega)$, the fraction $\eta\left(A^{T} \omega\right) / \eta(\omega)$ is continuous. Then

$$
H(\omega)=\frac{1}{\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)^{\alpha}(a-i b)^{N}}\left(\frac{\eta\left(A^{T} \omega\right)}{\eta(\omega)}\right)^{\alpha+\frac{N}{2}}
$$

is continuous.
We now consider the differentiability of $\eta\left(A^{T} \omega\right) / \eta(\omega)$. Due to periodicity it is enough to consider the fraction in a neighborhood of the origin. Let $\eta$ be of the form of ansatz (22):

$$
\eta(\omega)=\eta\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)=r^{2}+\sum_{k=2}^{m} c_{k} r^{2 k}+R_{2 m+1}(\omega) r^{2(m+1)}
$$

with $r=\sqrt{\omega_{1}^{2}+\omega_{2}^{2}}$ and bounded $R_{2 m+1}(\omega)$. Then
$\eta\left(A^{T} \omega\right)=\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)\left(r^{2}+\sum_{k=2}^{m} c_{k}\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)^{k-1} r^{2 k}+R_{2 m+1}\left(A^{T} \omega\right)\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)^{m} r^{2(m+1)}\right)$,
and the fraction is of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\eta\left(A^{T} \omega\right)}{\eta(\omega)}=\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)(1+ \\
& \left.\frac{\sum_{k=2}^{m} c_{k}\left(\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)^{k-1}-1\right) r^{2 k}+\left(R_{2 m+1}\left(A^{T} \omega\right)\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)^{m}-R_{2 m+1}(\omega)\right) r^{2(m+1)}}{r^{2}+\sum_{k=2}^{m} c_{k} r^{2 k}+R_{2 m+1}(\omega) r^{2(m+1)}}\right) \\
= & \left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)\left(1+r^{2} B(\omega)\right) \\
= & \left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(\|\omega\|^{2}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for some function $B(\omega)$ bounded in a neighborhood of the origin.
If $B$ is even continuous, then $\eta\left(A^{T} \omega\right) / \eta(\omega) \in C^{2}$. If $B$ is not continuous, i.e., if $m=1$, then the sum terms are void, and $\eta\left(A^{T} \omega\right) / \eta(\omega) \in C^{1}$.

In the case $c_{k}=0$ for $k=2, \ldots, l$, we get

$$
\frac{\eta\left(A^{T} \omega\right)}{\eta(\omega)}=\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(\|\omega\|^{2 l}\right)\right.
$$

in a neighborhood of the origin. Thus, multipliers of the form $\eta(\omega)=r^{2}+$ $\mathcal{O}\left(r^{2 l}\right), l>2$, generate smoother filters than those of the form $\eta(\omega)=r^{2}+$ $\mathcal{O}\left(r^{4}\right)$.

We sum up:
Theorem 12 Let $\nu\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)=\left(\eta\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)\right)^{\alpha+\frac{N}{2}}$ be a localizing multiplier, where $\eta$ is according to the ansatz (22):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta(\omega)=\eta\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)=r^{2}+\sum_{k=2}^{m} c_{k} r^{2 k}+R_{2 m+1}(\omega) r^{2(m+1)} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $r=\sqrt{\omega_{1}^{2}+\omega_{2}^{2}}=\|\omega\|$ and $R_{2 m+1}(\omega)$ bounded.
(i) Then the lowpass filter $H$ is $C^{K_{1}}$, where $K_{1}=\min \left\{\left\lfloor\alpha+\frac{N}{2}\right\rfloor, 2 m-1,2\right\}$.
(ii) If, in addition, $\eta$ is of the particular form $\eta(\omega)=r^{2}+R_{2 m+1}(\omega) r^{2(m+1)}$, then $H$ is $C^{K_{2}}$ with $K_{2}=\min \left\{\left\lfloor\alpha+\frac{N}{2}\right\rfloor, 2 m-1\right\}$.
(iii) If $\left\lfloor\alpha+\frac{N}{2}\right\rfloor \in \mathbb{N}$, then this term can be omitted from the minima $K_{1}, K_{2}$.

Note 3 Multipliers $\eta$ of the special form $\eta(\omega)=r^{2}+\mathcal{O}\left(r^{2 m}\right), m \geq 2$, can also be used for the localization of classical polyharmonic splines. E.g., in [15], polyharmonic B-splines in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ are defined via their Fourier representation

$$
\widehat{\varphi}(\omega)=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sin ^{2} \frac{\omega_{i}}{2}\right)^{k} /\left\|\frac{\omega}{2}\right\|^{2 k} \quad \text { for } \omega \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, n, k \in \mathbb{N} .
$$

These functions have a representation $\hat{\varphi}(\omega)=1+\mathcal{O}\left(\|\omega\|^{2}\right)$ in a neighborhood of the origin. Thus $\hat{\varphi} \in C^{1}$ (not $C^{2}$, as incorrectly concluded from an otherwise correct proof in [15]), and reproduce polynomials up to degree 1.

For $n=2$, with our choice of $\eta$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, we get $\widehat{\varphi_{1}}(\omega)=1+\mathcal{O}\left(\|\omega\|^{2(m-1)}\right)$. This yields a smoother $\widehat{\varphi_{1}} \in C^{2(m-1)-1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$. In particular, $\varphi_{1}$ allows the reproduction of polynomials up to degree $2(m-1)-1$. This is due to the fact that $D^{\beta} \widehat{\varphi}_{1}(0)=0$, if $|\beta| \leq 2(m-1)-1$. Such an approach can also be pursued for polyharmonic $B$-splines in higher dimensions $n>2$.

Example 13(i) The trigonometric polynomial $\eta_{1}$ (19) of the form

$$
\eta_{1}\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)=r^{2}-\frac{\cos (4 \theta)+3}{48} r^{4}+R(\omega) r^{6}=r^{2}+R_{3}(\omega) r^{4}
$$

is approximately rotation-invariant of order 3. Thus, the corresponding lowpass filter $H$ is at most $C^{K}$ with $K=\min \left(\left\lfloor\alpha+\frac{N}{2}\right\rfloor, 1\right)$, because

$$
\frac{\eta_{1}\left(A^{T} \omega\right)}{\eta_{1}(\omega)}=\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)\left(1+r^{2} \frac{r^{2}\left(R_{3}\left(A^{T} \omega\right)\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)-R_{3}(\omega)\right)}{r^{2}+R_{3}(\omega) r^{4}}\right)
$$

$$
=\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)\left(1+r^{2} B(\omega)\right)
$$

with bounded, but discontinuous $B$.
(ii) The trigonometric polynomial $\eta_{2}$ (20) of the form

$$
\eta_{2}(\omega)=r^{2}-\frac{1}{12} r^{4}+R_{5}(\omega) r^{6}
$$

yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\eta_{2}\left(A^{T} \omega\right)}{\eta_{2}(\omega)} \\
= & \left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)\left(1+r^{2} \frac{-\frac{1}{12}\left(a^{2}+b^{2}-1\right) r^{2}+\left(R_{5}\left(A^{T} \omega\right)\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)-R_{5}(\omega)\right) r^{4}}{r^{2}-\frac{1}{12} r^{4}+R_{5}(\omega) r^{6}}\right) \\
= & \left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)\left(1+r^{2} B(\omega)\right)=\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(\|\omega\|^{2}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

with $B$ continuous at the origin. Therefore, $\frac{\eta_{2}\left(A^{T} \omega\right)}{\eta_{2}(\omega)} \in C^{2}$.
(iii) For the multipliers in Table 2 of the form $\eta(\omega)=r^{2}+R_{2 m-1}(\omega) r^{2 m}$, we get

$$
\frac{\eta\left(A^{T} \omega\right)}{\eta(\omega)}=\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)\left(1+r^{2(m-1)} \frac{r^{2}\left(R_{2 m-1}\left(A^{T} \omega\right)\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)-R_{2 m-1}(\omega)\right)}{r^{2}+R_{2 m-1}(\omega) r^{2 m}}\right)
$$

Thus $\frac{\eta\left(A^{T} \omega\right)}{\eta(\omega)} \in C^{2 m-3}$.

### 6.3 Regularity of the autocorrelation filter

For the construction and orthonormalization of the corresponding wavelet functions, which span the space $W_{j}$ with $V_{j} \oplus W_{j}=V_{j+1}$, we have to consider the autocorrelation filter

$$
M(\omega)=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}}|\varphi(\omega+2 \pi k)|^{2}
$$

In the same way as for $H$ it is interesting to investigate how smooth $M$ is with respect to the choice of $\eta$.

Theorem 14 Let $\nu, \eta$ be as in Theorem 12. If $\eta(\omega)=r^{2}+\sum_{k=2}^{m} c_{k} r^{2 k}+$ $R_{2 m+1}(\omega) r^{2(m+1)}$ with $c_{k} \neq 0$ for all $k$, then $M$ is at most continuous. I.e. $M \in C^{K_{3}}$ with $K_{3}=\min \left\{\left\lfloor\alpha+\frac{N}{2}\right\rfloor, 1\right\}-1$.

If $\eta$ is of the particular form $\eta(\omega)=r^{2}+R_{2 m+1}(\omega) r^{2(m+1)}$, then $M$ is $C^{K_{4}}$, $K_{4}=\min \left\{\left\lfloor\alpha+\frac{N}{2}\right\rfloor, 2 m-1\right\}-1$.

For the case $\alpha+\frac{N}{2} \in \mathbb{N}$, the first term can be omitted from both minima.

PROOF. We prove the theorem by considering the decay of the Fourier coefficients of $M$. We have $M \in L^{1}\left([0,2 \pi]^{2}\right)$, since by Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{2}} \int_{[0,2 \pi]^{2}}|M(\omega)| d \omega=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{2}} \int_{[0,2 \pi]^{2}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}}|\widehat{\varphi}(\omega+2 \pi k)|^{2} d \omega \\
= & \frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}|\varphi(x)|^{2} d \omega<\infty,
\end{aligned}
$$

if $2 \alpha+N>1$, which is always true in our setting $N \geq 1, \alpha>0$.
By the same arguments the Fourier coefficients of $M$ by the same arguments are

$$
\widehat{M}(l)=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{2}} \int_{[0,2 \pi]^{2}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}}|\widehat{\varphi}(\omega+2 \pi k)|^{2} e^{-i\langle\omega, l\rangle} d \omega, \quad l \in \mathbb{Z}^{2} .
$$

We consider two cases for the function

$$
|\widehat{\varphi}(\omega)|^{2}=\left(\frac{\eta(\omega)^{2}}{\|\omega\|^{4}}\right)^{\alpha+\frac{N}{2}}
$$

Due to the period zeros of the numerator we deduce that $|\widehat{\varphi}|^{2}$ is at most $C^{\left\lfloor\alpha+\frac{N}{2}\right\rfloor}$, if $\alpha+\frac{N}{2} \notin \mathbb{N}$.

1. Case: $\eta$ is of the general form (23). Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\eta(\omega)^{2} & =\left(r^{2}+\sum_{k=2}^{m} c_{k} r^{2 k}+R_{2 m+1}(\omega) r^{2(m+1)}\right)^{2} \\
& =r^{4}+\sum_{k=2}^{m} d_{k} r^{2(k+1)}+\widetilde{R}_{2 m+3}(\omega) r^{2 m+4}
\end{aligned}
$$

in a neighborhood of the origin. Here, $d_{k}$ are certain coefficients, $\widetilde{R}_{2 m+3}$ is a bounded term and $r=\sqrt{\omega_{1}^{2}+\omega_{2}^{2}}=\|\omega\|$. Thus

$$
|\hat{\varphi}(\omega)|^{2}=\left(\frac{r^{4}+\mathcal{O}\left(r^{6}\right)}{r^{4}}\right)^{\alpha+\frac{N}{2}}=\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(r^{2}\right)\right)^{\alpha+\frac{N}{2}}
$$

from which we deduce $|\widehat{\varphi}|^{2} \in C^{K_{3}^{\prime}}, K_{3}^{\prime}=\min \left\{\left\lfloor\alpha+\frac{N}{2}\right\rfloor, 1\right\}$.
2. Case: $\eta$ is of the particular form $\eta(\omega)=r^{2}+R_{2 m+1}(\omega) r^{2(m+1)}$, then $\eta(\omega)^{2}=$ $r^{4}+\widetilde{R}_{2 m+3}(\omega) r^{2(m+2)}$ for a bounded term $\widetilde{R}_{2 m+3}$. Then

$$
|\widehat{\varphi}(\omega)|^{2}=\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(\|\omega\|^{2 m}\right)\right)^{\alpha+\frac{N}{2}} \in C^{K_{4}^{\prime}},
$$

with $K_{4}^{\prime}=\min \left\{\left\lfloor\alpha+\frac{N}{2}\right\rfloor, 2 m-1\right\}$.
In both cases we get for the decay of the Fourier transform

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}|\widehat{\varphi}(\omega)|^{2} e^{-i k \omega} d \omega \leq \frac{\text { const }}{1+|k|^{\gamma}}, \quad \gamma \leq K_{j}^{\prime}+1, j=3,4 .
$$

Hence, $M \in C^{K_{j}^{\prime}-1}$.

## 7 Wavelet bases

In this section, we construct Riesz bases spanning the orthogonal complement $W_{j}$ in $V_{j+1}=W_{j} \oplus V_{j}$, i.e., prewavelet bases. It is well known that the minimal number of prewavelets $\psi_{d}, d=1, \ldots, D$, which span have

$$
W_{j}=\overline{\operatorname{span}\left\{2^{j / 2} \psi_{d}\left(A^{j} \bullet-k\right), k \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}, d=1, \ldots, D\right\}}{ }^{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)}
$$

depends on the number of cosets $q=|\operatorname{det} A|$ of $A\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right)$ in $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$. In fact, $D=q-1$. A detailed proof of this fact can be found in [8]. Corresponding wavelet bases can be constructed in orthonormalizing the prewavelet bases.

In the following, we focus on prewavelet bases in the quincunx case with dilation matrix

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{rr}
1 & 1  \tag{24}\\
-1 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Since $\operatorname{det} A=2$, only one wavelet $\psi$ spans the space $W_{-1}$. This case is especially interesting for image processing, since the data is subsampled by a factor of only $\operatorname{det} A=2$ in each decomposition step, in comparision to 4 in a tensor product approach. However, we note that our approach does not work with other dilation matrix also representing the quincunx lattice, as for example

$$
\left(\begin{array}{rr}
1 & 1 \\
1 & -1
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad\left(\begin{array}{rr}
0 & -1 \\
2 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

since they are not scaled rotations. Further details on similarities and differences of multiresolutions based on these dilation matrices may be found e.g. in $[16,17]$.

For arbitrary dilation matrices, a general method to construct the corresponding (pre-)wavelets uses unitary polyphase matrices. For a comprehensive introduction, we refer to $[8,18]$.

### 7.1 Prewavelets in the quincunx case

There are several possibilities to construct prewavelet bases $\left\{\psi_{j, k}=2^{j / 2} \psi\left(A^{j} \bullet\right.\right.$ $\left.-k), k \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}\right\}$ spanning the space $W_{j}$ for dilations $A$ as in (24). For example, it is well known that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\psi}\left(A^{T} \omega\right)=e^{-i \omega_{1}} \overline{H\left(\omega+(\pi, \pi)^{T}\right)} M\left(\omega+(\pi, \pi)^{T}\right) \widehat{\varphi}(\omega) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

generates a Riesz basis of $W_{j}$ for the quincunx case. In general, this basis is not orthonormal $\left\langle\psi_{j, k}, \psi_{j, l}\right\rangle \neq \delta_{k, l}$. The corresponding dual basis is given by

$$
\widehat{\widetilde{\psi}}\left(A^{T} \omega\right)=e^{-i \omega_{1}} \overline{H\left(\omega+(\pi, \pi)^{T}\right)} \frac{M\left(\omega+(\pi, \pi)^{T}\right)}{M\left(A^{T} \omega\right)} \frac{\widehat{\varphi}(\omega)}{M(\omega)},
$$

where $M$ is the autocorrelation function (14). Then all $f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ can be represented as

$$
f=\sum_{j, k}\left\langle f, \tilde{\psi}_{j, k}\right\rangle \psi_{j, k}=\sum_{j, k}\left\langle f, \psi_{j, k}\right\rangle \tilde{\psi}_{j, k} .
$$

An orthonormal wavelet basis is generated by

$$
\widehat{\psi}_{\perp}\left(A^{T} \omega\right)=\sqrt{\frac{M\left(\omega+(\pi, \pi)^{T}\right)}{M\left(A^{T} \omega\right)}} \widehat{\psi}(\omega) .
$$

In this case, a corresponding orthonormal basis of $V_{0}$ is generated by integer shifts of the scaling function $\hat{\varphi}_{\perp}(\omega)=\widehat{\varphi}(\omega) / \sqrt{M(\omega)}$.

In the following we concentrate on the prewavelets (25), since the other wavelet functions mentioned above are variations of the form $\widehat{\psi}_{*}\left(A^{T} \omega\right)=\widehat{\psi}\left(A^{T} \omega\right) S_{*}(\omega)$ for some fraction $S_{*}$ of shifted and scaled autocorrelation functions $M$.

### 7.2 Smoothness and decay

Let us consider the prewavelets (25) constructed from the real multipliers (22). These functions have the same decay properties as $\widehat{\varphi}$ :

$$
\widehat{\psi}(\omega)=\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\|\omega\|^{2 \alpha+N}}\right) \quad \text { as } \quad\|\omega\| \rightarrow \infty
$$

For $r=\|\omega\|=\sqrt{\omega_{1}^{2}+\omega_{2}^{2}}$, we get in a neighborhood of the origin

$$
\begin{align*}
& H\left(\omega+(\pi, \pi)^{T}\right)= \\
= & \frac{1}{2^{\alpha}(1-i)^{N}}\left(\frac{\eta\left(\omega_{1}-\omega_{2}, \omega_{1}+\omega_{2}\right)}{\eta\left(\omega_{1}+\pi, \omega_{2}+\pi\right)}\right)^{\alpha+\frac{N}{2}} \\
= & \frac{1}{2^{\alpha}(1-i)^{N}}\left(\frac{2 r^{2}+\sum_{k=2}^{m} c_{k}\left(2 r^{2}\right)^{k}+R_{2 m+1}\left(\omega_{1}-\omega_{2}, \omega_{1}+\omega_{2}\right) 2^{m+1} r^{2(m+1)}}{\eta\left(\omega_{1}+\pi, \omega_{2}+\pi\right)}\right)^{\alpha+\frac{N}{2}} \\
= & \frac{2^{\alpha+\frac{N}{2}}}{2^{\alpha}(1-i)^{N}}\left(\frac{2 r^{2}+\sum_{k=2}^{m} c_{k}\left(2 r^{2}\right)^{k}+R_{2 m+1}\left(\omega_{1}-\omega_{2}, \omega_{1}+\omega_{2}\right) 2^{m+1} r^{2(m+1)}}{\eta\left(\omega_{1}+\pi, \omega_{2}+\pi\right)}\right)^{\alpha+\frac{N}{2}} \\
= & e^{i \frac{\pi}{4} N}\left(r^{2}+\sum_{k=2}^{m} c_{k} 2^{k-1} r^{2 k}+R_{2 m+1}\left(\omega_{1}-\omega_{2}, \omega_{1}+\omega_{2}\right) 2^{m} r^{2(m+1)}\right)^{\alpha+\frac{N}{2}} \\
\cdot & \eta\left(\omega_{1}+\pi, \omega_{2}+\pi\right)^{-\left(\alpha+\frac{N}{2}\right)} . \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, the Fourier transform of the wavelet $\widehat{\psi}$ in a neighborhood of the origin behaves as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\psi}\left(A^{T} \omega\right) & =e^{-i \omega_{1}} \overline{H\left(\omega+(\pi, \pi)^{T}\right)} M\left(\omega+(\pi, \pi)^{T}\right) \widehat{\varphi}(\omega) \\
= & e^{-i \frac{\pi}{4} N}\left(r^{2}+\sum_{k=2}^{m} c_{k} 2^{k-1} r^{2 k}+R_{2 m+1}\left(\omega_{1}-\omega_{2}, \omega_{1}+\omega_{2}\right) 2^{m} r^{2(m+1)}\right)^{\alpha+\frac{N}{2}} \\
& \cdot \eta(\pi, \pi)^{-\left(\alpha+\frac{N}{2}\right)} M\left(\omega+(\pi, \pi)^{T}\right) \widehat{\varphi}(\omega) .
\end{aligned}
$$

A closer look at the scaling function $\widehat{\varphi}$ and its behaviour at the origin yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\varphi}(\omega) & =\frac{\left(r^{2}+\sum_{k=2}^{m} c_{k} r^{2 k}+R_{2 m+1}(\omega) r^{2(m+1)}\right)^{\alpha+\frac{N}{2}}}{\left(\omega_{1}^{2}+\omega_{2}^{2}\right)^{\alpha}\left(\omega_{1}-i \omega_{2}\right)^{N}} \\
& =\left(\omega_{1}+i \omega_{2}\right)^{N} \frac{\left(r^{2}+\sum_{k=2}^{m} c_{k} r^{2 k}+R_{2 m+1}(\omega) r^{2(m+1)}\right)^{\alpha+\frac{N}{2}}}{r^{2 \alpha} r^{2 N}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{\left(\omega_{1}+i \omega_{2}\right)^{N}}{r^{N}}\left(\frac{r^{2}+\sum_{k=2}^{m} c_{k} r^{2 k}+R_{2 m+1}(\omega) r^{2(m+1)}}{r^{2}}\right)^{\alpha+\frac{N}{2}} \\
& =e^{i N \arg \omega}\left(1+\sum_{k=2}^{m} c_{k} r^{2(k-1)}+R_{2 m+1}(\omega) r^{2 m}\right)^{\alpha+\frac{N}{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\arg \omega$ denotes the angle of the vector $\omega$ with the $\omega_{1}$-axis. Thus

$$
\begin{align*}
\widehat{\psi}\left(A^{T} \omega\right) & =e^{-i \frac{\pi}{4} N}\left(r^{2}+\sum_{k=2}^{m} c_{k} 2^{k-1} r^{2 k}+R_{2 m+1}\left(\omega_{1}-\omega_{2}, \omega_{1}+\omega_{2}\right) 2^{m} r^{2(m+1)}\right)^{\alpha+\frac{N}{2}} \\
& \cdot \eta(\pi, \pi)^{-\left(\alpha+\frac{N}{2}\right)} M(\pi, \pi) e^{i N \arg \omega}\left(1+\sum_{k=2}^{m} c_{k} r^{2(k-1)}+R_{2 m+1}(\omega) r^{2 m}\right)^{\alpha+\frac{N}{2}} \\
= & e^{-i \frac{\pi}{4} N} e^{i N \arg \omega}\left(r^{2}+\mathcal{O}\left(r^{4}\right)\right)^{\alpha+\frac{N}{2}} \cdot \eta(\pi, \pi)^{-\left(\alpha+\frac{N}{2}\right)} M(\pi, \pi) \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

for $\|\omega\| \rightarrow 0$. If, in particular, $\eta(\omega)=r^{2}+R_{2 m+1}(\omega) r^{2(m+1)}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\psi}\left(A^{T} \omega\right) & =e^{-i \frac{\pi}{4} N}\left(r^{2}+R_{2 m+1}\left(\omega_{1}-\omega_{2}, \omega_{1}+\omega_{2}\right) 2^{m} r^{2(m+1)}\right)^{\alpha+\frac{N}{2}} \\
& \cdot \eta(\pi, \pi)^{-\left(\alpha+\frac{N}{2}\right)} M(\pi, \pi) e^{i N \arg \omega}\left(1+R_{2 m+1}(\omega) r^{2 m}\right)^{\alpha+\frac{N}{2}} \\
= & e^{-i \frac{\pi}{4} N} e^{i N \arg \omega}\left(r^{2}+\mathcal{O}\left(r^{2 m}\right)\right)^{\alpha+\frac{N}{2}} \eta(\pi, \pi)^{-\left(\alpha+\frac{N}{2}\right)} M(\pi, \pi) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $\widehat{\psi}$ is continuous at the origin, and there shows rotation-covariant behaviour. To see this, let $R_{\theta}$ be a rotation operator of the form (1).

$$
\widehat{\psi}\left(A^{T} R_{\theta} \omega\right)=e^{-i \frac{\pi}{4} N} e^{i N(\theta+\arg \omega)}\left(r^{2}+\mathcal{O}\left(r^{4}\right)\right)^{\alpha+\frac{N}{2}} \eta(\pi, \pi)^{-\left(\alpha+\frac{N}{2}\right)} M(\pi, \pi) \sim e^{i N \theta} \widehat{\psi}\left(A^{T} \omega\right) .
$$

We sum up:
Theorem 15 Let $\eta$ be as in Theorem 12. Then the following is true:
(i) $\psi \in W_{2}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ with $s<2 \alpha+N-1$.
(ii) $\widehat{\psi} \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ for $\alpha+\frac{N}{2}>1$.
(iii) If $\alpha+\frac{N}{2}>1$, then $\psi$ is uniformly continuous, bounded, and $\lim _{\|x\| \rightarrow \infty} \psi(x)=$ 0.
(iv) Let $R_{\theta}$ be the rotation operator of form (1). Then $\widehat{\psi}\left(A^{T} R_{\theta} \omega\right) \sim e^{i N \theta} \widehat{\psi}\left(A^{T} \omega\right)$ in a neighborhood of the origin.
(v) $\omega^{\beta} \widehat{\psi}(\omega) \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ for $|\beta|<2 \alpha+N-2$. Thus, in these cases, $D^{\beta} \psi$ is bounded, uniformly continuous, and vanishes at infinity.
(vi) $\widehat{\psi}$ has a zero of order $2 \alpha+N$ at the origin and has regularity $\hat{\psi} \in C^{K}$ with $K=\min \left\{K_{1}, K_{3}\right\}$ if $\eta(\omega)$ as in (23) with $c_{k} \neq 0$ for all $k$, or $K=$ $\min \left\{K_{2}, K_{4}\right\}$ for $\eta(\omega)=r^{2}+\mathcal{O}\left(r^{2(m+1)}\right)$.
(vii) In spacial domain, $\psi(x)=\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\|x\|^{\gamma}}\right)$ for $\|x\| \rightarrow \infty, \gamma \leq K+1$ and $\alpha+\frac{N}{2}>1$.

PROOF. Claims (i) and (ii) are direct consequences of the decay (3) of $\hat{\varphi}$, which is handed down to the wavelet $\widehat{\psi}$, and the continuity of $\widehat{\psi}$. (iii) follows from (ii) by properties of the Fourier transform. (iv) is proved above. (v) follows directly from the properties of the Fourier transform.
(vi) $\widehat{\psi}(\omega)=\mathcal{O}\left(\|\omega\|^{2 \alpha+N}\right)$ for $\|\omega\| \rightarrow 0$. Thus $\widehat{\psi}$ is $(2 \alpha+N)-1$ times differentiable at the origin. For the general estimate of the regularity we consider the representation

$$
\widehat{\psi}\left(A^{T} \omega\right)=e^{-i \omega_{1}} \overline{H\left(\omega+(\pi, \pi)^{T}\right)} M\left(\omega+(\pi, \pi)^{T}\right) \widehat{\varphi}(\omega) .
$$

Since translations and dilations are $C^{\infty}$ transforms, Theorems 12 on $H$ and 14 on $M$ yield the regularity $\widehat{\psi} \in C^{\min \left\{K_{1}, K_{3}\right\}}$ if $\eta(\omega)$ as in (23) with $c_{k} \neq 0$ for all $k$, resp. $\widehat{\psi} \in C^{\min \left\{K_{2}, K_{4}\right\}}$ for $\eta(\omega)=r^{2}+\mathcal{O}\left(r^{2(m+1)}\right)$.
(vii) Now let $K=\min \left\{K_{1}, K_{3}\right\}$ or $K=\min \left\{K_{2}, K_{4}\right\}$ respectively. Then $D^{\beta} \widehat{\psi} \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$, if $\alpha+\frac{N}{2}>1$ and $|\beta| \leq K+1$. In this case, $x^{\beta} \psi$ is bounded, uniformly continuous, and vanishes at infinity. Consequently, $\psi(x)=\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\|x\|^{\beta \beta}}\right)$ for $\|x\| \rightarrow \infty$ and the claim is proved.

### 7.3 Derivatives

To associate the wavelets with certain differential operators, we write $\widehat{\psi}$ in a different form, starting out from (27).

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\psi}\left(A^{T} \omega\right) & =e^{-i \frac{\pi}{4} N} e^{i N \arg \omega}\left(r^{2}+\mathcal{O}\left(r^{4}\right)\right)^{\alpha+\frac{N}{2}} \eta(\pi, \pi)^{-\left(\alpha+\frac{N}{2}\right)} \\
& =e^{-i \frac{\pi}{4} N} e^{i N \arg \omega}\left(\left(\omega_{1}^{2}+\omega_{2}^{2}\right)^{\alpha+\frac{N}{2}}+\mathcal{O}\left(r^{\alpha+2 N+2}\right)\right) \eta(\pi, \pi)^{-\left(\alpha+\frac{N}{2}\right)} \\
& =e^{-i \frac{\pi}{4} N}\left(\omega_{1}^{2}+\omega_{2}^{2}\right)^{\alpha}\left(\sqrt{\omega_{1}^{2}+\omega_{2}^{2}} e^{i \arg \omega}\right)^{N} \frac{M(\pi, \pi)}{\eta(\pi, \pi)^{\alpha+\frac{N}{2}}}+\mathcal{O}\left(r^{4 \alpha+2 N+2}\right) \\
& =e^{-i \frac{\pi}{4} N}\left(\omega_{1}^{2}+\omega_{2}^{2}\right)^{\alpha}\left(\omega_{1}+i \omega_{2}\right)^{N} \eta(\pi, \pi)^{-\left(\alpha+\frac{N}{2}\right)}+\mathcal{O}\left(r^{4 \alpha+2 N+2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies

$$
\widehat{\psi}(\omega)=\frac{\sqrt{2}^{N}}{2^{\alpha}}\left(\omega_{1}^{2}+\omega_{2}^{2}\right)^{\alpha}\left(\omega_{1}+i \omega_{2}\right)^{N} \eta(\pi, \pi)^{-\left(\alpha+\frac{N}{2}\right)}+\mathcal{O}\left(r^{4 \alpha+2 N+2}\right) .
$$

Therefore, the wavelet transform of a test function $f \in \mathcal{D}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \langle f, \psi(\bullet-k)\rangle=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{2}}\left\langle\widehat{f},(\psi(\bullet-k))^{\wedge}\right\rangle \\
= & \frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \widehat{f}(\omega) e^{i\langle\omega, k\rangle} \overline{\widehat{\psi}(\omega)} d \omega \\
= & \frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \widehat{f}(\omega)\left(\omega_{1}^{2}+\omega_{2}^{2}\right)^{\alpha}\left(\omega_{1}-i \omega_{2}\right)^{N} \overline{\bar{\Phi}(\omega)} e^{i\langle\omega, k\rangle} d \omega \\
= & (-\Delta)^{\alpha}\left(-i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}-\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}\right)^{N}\{f * \bar{\Phi}\}(k)
\end{aligned}
$$

behaves as a Laplacian of order $\alpha$ modified by a differential operator of Wirtinger type of order $N$. Here,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\Phi}(\omega) & =\frac{\widehat{\psi}(\omega)}{\left(\omega_{1}^{2}+\omega_{2}^{2}\right)^{\alpha}\left(\omega_{1}+i \omega_{2}\right)^{N}} \\
& =e^{-\frac{i}{2}\left(\omega_{1}+\omega_{2}\right)}(1+\mathcal{O}(\|\omega\|)) \widehat{\varphi}\left(A^{-T} \omega\right) \quad \text { for }\|\omega\| \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

is a lowpass smoothing kernel with approximate rotation-covariant behaviour in a neighborhood of the origin. Thus, we have the following result:

Proposition 16 The wavelet $\psi$ can be represented as

$$
\psi\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=(-\Delta)^{\alpha}\left(-i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}-\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}\right)^{N} \overline{\Phi\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)}
$$

i.e., the derivative of order $2 \alpha+N$ of the smoothing kernel $\bar{\Phi} \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ in the sense of distributions.

## 8 Implementations aspects

The coefficients of the refinement filter $H$ depend on the choice of the $(2 \pi, 2 \pi)-$ periodic localizing function $\nu$. Moreover, for non-integer $\alpha$, the filter $H$ has in general an infinite impulse response and is non-causal. Therefore, a classical implementation by convolution in space domain would tend to be rather costly and would lead to accuracy problems because of the necessity to truncate the filters.

Since the filter $H$ is given explicitly in Fourier domain (13), it is more convenient to implement the corresponding wavelet transform in the Fourier domain
using FFTs. We again consider the quincunx case, where we have to take into account just one wavelet filter. The discrete Fourier transform of the image data is filtered by multiplying with the refinement and the wavelet filter. Exploiting symmetries, we can downsample the data by a factor of 2 and use an inverse discrete Fourier transform on the reduced data. This yields a fast and stable algorithm, which is the same as the one described in [19], except that the filters are different.

As an example, we calculated the image of a wavelet with the fast algorithm (see Fig. 4). We measured for the precalculation of the filters, which is done only once, for a sample size of $128 \times 128$ a duration of $68,71 \mathrm{sec}$ (on a 1.67 GHz PowerPC G4). The calculation of the wavelet then needs only $0,09 \mathrm{sec}$. For a test image of size $256 \times 256$, the precalculation of the filters requires 543,98 sec. After that, wavelet analysis and synthesis only take $0,71 \mathrm{sec}$ resp. $0,44 \mathrm{sec}$ and yield an $l^{\infty}$-reconstruction error of $5,12 \cdot 10^{-13}$. For a larger test image of size $512 \times 512$ precalculation of the filters requires $7028,50 \mathrm{sec}$. Wavelet analysis and synthesis take $3,17 \mathrm{sec}$ resp. $2,03 \mathrm{sec}$ and yield an $l^{\infty}$-reconstruction error of $5,03 \cdot 10^{-13}$. Both wavelet analysis and synthesis steps were calculated with the same parameters as in Fig. 4.

In Figure 5 we give an example of the transform for the test image 'cameraman' $(256 \times 256)$. We assumed that the image is band-limited and projected it on the space $V_{0}=\overline{\operatorname{span}\left\{\varphi(\bullet-k), k \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}\right\}}{ }^{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)}$ via the linear operator

$$
P_{V_{0}}: L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right) \rightarrow V_{0}, \quad f \mapsto \frac{1}{2 \pi} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}}\langle\mathcal{F}(f), \mathcal{F}(\widetilde{\varphi}(\bullet-n))\rangle \varphi(\bullet-n),
$$

where $\left\{\widetilde{\varphi}(\bullet-n), n \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}\right\}$ denotes the dual basis. The projection on $V_{0}$ gives the advantage that the wavelet coefficients don't depend on a particular choice of the scaling function, i.e., orthogonal, semi-orthogonal, or biorthogonal scaling function. We performed the wavelet decomposition with the corresponding prewavelet as in (25). Figure 5 shows real and imaginary part of the wavelet coefficients, respectively. Since it is a quincunx transform, we chose to display the wavelet coefficients at odd scales on a grid rotated by 45 degrees to avoid geometric distortions. The wavelet coefficients had their intensity rescaled linearly with zero mapped onto 127 (intermediate gray). These coefficients are essentially zero within smooth regions and are taking large value on the edges. The transform is qualitatively very similar to a multi-scale gradient with the real part corresponding to the $y$-derivative and the imaginary one to the $x$ derivative.

## Wavelet in space domain



Fig. 4. Wavelet calculated using the fast algorithm for the parameters $\alpha=1, N=1$ and localizing multiplier $\nu$ based on the trigonometric polynomial $\eta$ in(20). a) Modulus of wavelet function in space domain and b) top view. c) Real part and d) imaginary part of wavelet in space domain. e) Top view on modulus of wavelet in Fourier domain.

## 9 Conclusion

We presented a new family of complex multiresolution bases in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$. Our approach considered localizations of the rotation-covariant function of the form $\rho\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=C(\alpha, N)\left(x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}\right)^{\alpha-1}\left(x_{1}+i x_{2}\right)^{N}$ (times a logarithmic factor if $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ ). This yields nonseparable complex-valued multiresolution bases of $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ for scaled rotation dilation matrices.

The parameter $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$can be chosen arbitrarily; in particular, non-integer. This gives flexibility: We can control the smoothness and decay of the resulting wavelets. With the integer parameter $N$, we are able to influence rotation-


Fig. 5. Decomposition of the test image 'cameraman' $(256 \times 256)$ into eight levels. The left resp. right matrices show the real resp. imaginary part of the wavelet coefficients. The parameters of the corresponding scaling function $\varphi$ are $\alpha=N=1$ and localization $\eta$ as in (20).
covariance properties. Both degrees of freedom can be desirable for applications in image analysis.

The smoothness and decay properties of the resulting wavelets depend on the choice of the localizing multiplier. There, we gave a new class of multipliers of the form $\eta\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)=\omega_{1}^{2}+\omega_{2}^{2}+\mathcal{O}\left(\|\omega\|^{2 m}\right)$, which yield smoother functions and filters. These may also be used to improve the properties of the classical polyharmonic B-splines $(N=0)$.

Since our new family of complex rotation-covariant functions yields multiresolution bases, we can apply them in the DWT algorithm. We thus have a non-redundant complex wavelet transform and perfect reconstruction. The transform leads easily to an efficient filterbank implementation using Mallat's algorithm. The wavelet filters can also be specified to yield various types of decompositions; i.e., orthogonal, semi-orthogonal, or bi-orthogonal. Moreover, a FFT-based implementation can provide an efficient and fast algorithm.

In future work, we will consider the application of these wavelets to image processing. We have preliminary evidence that they are well suited for extractions of directional features such as edges.

## A Appendix: Considerations on complex multipliers

Of course, it is also valid to choose appropriate complex-valued multipliers $\nu$, and give up the restriction to approximately rotation-invariant real-valued ones. But there are no complex-valued continuous $2 \pi \mathbb{Z}^{2}$-periodic multipliers that localize $\hat{\rho}$ in such a way that $\hat{\varphi}$ is continuous. This is due to the fact that multipliers that eliminate the singularity in the phase $\arg \hat{\rho}(\omega)$ at zero must have a singularity in phase at zero, too. We can formulate for multipliers localizing the complex-valued term $1 /\left(\omega_{1}-i \omega_{2}\right)^{N}$ :

Proposition 17 Let $\nu: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a $2 \pi \mathbb{Z}^{2}$-periodic function with
(i) $\nu\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)=\omega_{1}-i \omega_{2}+\mathcal{O}\left(\omega_{1}^{2}, \omega_{1} \omega_{2}, \omega_{2}\right)$ in a neighborhood of the origin, and (ii) $\nu$ is continuous.

Then $\nu$ has zeros apart from the grid $2 \pi \mathbb{Z}^{2}$.

PROOF. In a neighborhood of the origin, we have by (i)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Re} \nu\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)=\omega_{1}+\mathcal{O}\left(\omega_{1}^{2}, \omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \omega_{2}^{2}\right), \quad \text { and } \\
& \operatorname{Im} \nu\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)=-\omega_{2}+\mathcal{O}\left(\omega_{1}^{2}, \omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \omega_{2}^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, there exist four small $\varepsilon-\delta$-sectors

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{\varepsilon, \delta}^{ \pm}(x, y)=\left\{ \pm\left(\omega_{1}-x\right) \geq \varepsilon\left|\omega_{2}-y\right|,\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right) \in B_{\delta}^{*}(x, y)\right\}, \\
& C_{\varepsilon, \delta}^{ \pm i}(x, y)=\left\{ \pm\left(\omega_{2}-y\right) \geq \varepsilon\left|\omega_{1}-x\right|,\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right) \in B_{\delta}^{*}(x, y)\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $B_{\delta}^{*}(x, y)$ is the open $\delta$-ball without its centre $(x, y), \delta<\frac{1}{3}$, such that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\operatorname{Re} \nu\left(C_{\varepsilon, \delta}^{+}(0,0)\right)>0, & \operatorname{Re} \nu\left(C_{\varepsilon, \delta}^{+i}(0,0)\right)<0 \\
\operatorname{Re} \nu\left(C_{\varepsilon, \delta}^{-}(0,0)\right)<0, & \operatorname{Re} \nu\left(C_{\varepsilon, \delta}^{-i}(0,0)\right)>0
\end{array}
$$

Due to periodicity, we also have $\operatorname{Re} \nu\left(C_{\varepsilon, \delta}^{+}(2 \pi k, 2 \pi l)\right)>0, \operatorname{Re} \nu\left(C_{\varepsilon, \delta}^{+i}(2 \pi k, 2 \pi l)\right)<$ $0, \operatorname{Re} \nu\left(C_{\varepsilon, \delta}^{-}(2 \pi k, 2 \pi l)\right)<0$, and $\operatorname{Re} \nu\left(C_{\varepsilon, \delta}^{-i}(2 \pi k, 2 \pi l)\right)>0$, for all $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}$, compare Figure A. 1 (a).

We consider a continuous homotopy $\Gamma(a, t)$ of paths in $[0,2 \pi]^{2}$ with the following properties:
(a) $\Gamma:[0,1] \times[0,1] \rightarrow[0,2 \pi]^{2}$ is continuous.
(b) $\Gamma(a, 0)=(0,0), \Gamma(a, 1)=(2 \pi, 2 \pi)$.
(c) For all $a \in[0,1]$, let $\Gamma(a, t) \in C_{\varepsilon, \delta}^{+}(0,0)$ for all $\left.t \in\right] 0, \delta[$, and $\Gamma(a . t) \in$ $C_{\varepsilon, \delta}^{-}(2 \pi, 2 \pi)$ for all $\left.t \in\right] 1-\delta, 1[$.
(d) $\Gamma(0, t)$ is a parametrization of the concatenated straight lines from $(0,0)$ over $(\delta \cos \alpha, \delta \sin \alpha)$ and $(2 \pi-\delta \cos \alpha, 2 \pi)$ to $(2 \pi, 2 \pi)$, where $\tan \alpha=\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \cdot \Gamma(1, t)$ is the corresponding parametrization of the concatenated straight lines from $(0,0)$ over $(2 \pi-\delta \cos \alpha, 0)$ and $(2 \pi-\delta \cos \alpha, 2 \pi-\delta \sin \alpha)$ to $(2 \pi, 2 \pi)$, see Fig. A. 1 (b).

Thus the family of paths $(\Gamma(a, t))_{a \in[0,1]}$ covers the polygone spanned by $\Gamma(0, t)$ and $\Gamma(1, t), t \in[0,1]$. For $a \in[0,1]$, we have $\operatorname{Re}(\nu(\Gamma(a, t)))>0$ for $t \in] 0, \delta]$, and $\operatorname{Re}(\nu(\Gamma(a, t)))<0$ for $t \in[2 \pi-\delta, \delta[$. Because $\nu$ and $\Gamma$ are continuous, for all $a \in[0,1]$, there exists at least one $t_{a} \in[\delta, 2 \pi-\delta]$, such that $\operatorname{Re}\left(\nu\left(\Gamma\left(a, t_{a}\right)\right)=0\right.$. Since $\Gamma$ is a continuous homotopy, $t_{a}$ can be chosen such that there exists a continuous curve $\gamma:[0,1] \rightarrow \Gamma\left(a, t_{a}\right)$ with $\operatorname{Re}(\nu(\gamma(a)))=0$ with $\gamma(0) \in] \delta, 2 \pi-\delta[\times\{0\}$ and $\gamma(1) \in] \delta, 2 \pi-\delta[\times\{2 \pi\}$.

An analog argumentation holds for the imaginary part. From there follows the existence of a continuous function $\widetilde{\gamma}:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,2 \pi]^{2}$ with $\widetilde{\gamma}(0) \in$ $\{0\} \times] \delta, 2 \pi-\delta[$ and $\widetilde{\gamma} \in\{2 \pi\} \times] \delta, 2 \pi-\delta[$ such that $\operatorname{Im} \nu(\widetilde{\gamma}(b))=0$ for all $b \in[0,1]$.

Hence, there exist $b, a \in[0,1]$ with $\gamma(a)=\widetilde{\gamma}(b), \operatorname{Re} \nu(\gamma(a))=\operatorname{Im}(\nu(\widetilde{\gamma}(b)))=$ 0 , and $\gamma(a)=\widetilde{\gamma}(b) \notin 2 \pi \mathbb{Z}^{2}$. This concludes our proof.

To display an example of a scaling function $\varphi$ whose Fourier transform is continuous at the origin, we may equip the real-valued multipliers (21) with a phase factor:

$$
P\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)=\left(\frac{p\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)}{\left|p\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)\right|}\right)^{N}
$$

where $p\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)=-i\left(1-e^{-i \omega_{1}}\right)+\left(1-e^{i \omega_{2}}\right)=\omega_{1}+i \omega_{2}+\mathcal{O}\left(\|\omega\|^{2}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu_{c}\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)=P\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right) \nu\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right) \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\nu\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)$ as in (21). Obviously, (A.1) satisfies the conditions of Theorem 4 and thus $\varphi=\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\nu_{c} \cdot \widehat{\rho}\right)$ generates a multiresolution analysis of $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$. By construction, $\hat{\varphi}$ is continuous at the origin, and $\widehat{\varphi}(0,0)=1$. However, the multiplier $\nu_{c}$ is not continuous at all points

$$
\mathcal{A}=\left\{\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right) \mid \cos \left(\omega_{1}\right)-\sin \left(\omega_{2}\right)=1 \text { and } \cos \left(\omega_{2}\right)-\sin \left(\omega_{1}\right)=1\right\} \supset 2 \pi \mathbb{Z}^{2} .
$$



Fig. A.1. (a) $\varepsilon-\delta$ sectors $C_{\varepsilon, \delta}^{+}$and $C_{\varepsilon, \delta}^{-}$. (b) Scetch of homotopy curves $\Gamma(a, t)$ (dashed) for the real part for $a=0, a=1$ and some $a \in] 0,1[$.

The set $\mathcal{A}$ is a true subset of $2 \pi \mathbb{Z}^{2}$. The function $\hat{\varphi}$ is discontinuous at the points $\mathcal{A} \backslash 2 \pi \mathbb{Z}^{2}$. Thus, also in the complex multiplier's case, $\varphi \notin L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$.

Moreover, the lowpass filter $H$ in this case is not continuous. Thus a welldefined implementation of the filters for the discrete wavelet transform in Fourier domain is not possible. For this reason, we set aside multipliers of form (A.1).

Since multipliers $\nu_{c}$ with phase as in (A.1) are not continuous, $H$ is not continuous in this case, either.

To sum up: It is not possible to construct a continuous multiplier $\nu$, such that 1.) $\hat{\varphi}$ is continuous in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ and 2.) a valid multiresolution analysis is generated.

The problem lies in the fact that to meet the continuity condition, $\nu$ must have more zeros than only the set $2 \pi \mathbb{Z}^{2}$. But then, the conditions of Theorem 4 and Proposition 5 are not met: The autocorrelation function is not bounded.
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