Myocardial perfusion assessment by use of system identification method in a one-compartment model
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Abstract—Cardiovascular magnetic resonance has been shown to provide high data quality for myocardial perfusion assessment. However, to analyze the perfusion data, some signal processing and modeling is needed to correct for motion related artifacts and limited spatial resolution. This study describes a method based on system identification, allowing, after a first step of image registration, to integrate and correct the partial volume effect in the myocardium perfusion quantification. This method is then applied to patients with coronary artery disease or hypertrophic obstructive cardiomyopathy.

I. INTRODUCTION

MYOCARDIAL perfusion assessment is an important issue in coronary artery disease in clinical practice. Time transit through a tissue can be estimated with a magnetic resonance (MR) first pass imaging technique and contrast media injection. However, quantification of myocardial perfusion from the MRI remains a challenge. Compartmental model of myocardial perfusion have been proposed but are largely based on a manual drawing to extract the time transit curves from the organ of interest. The purpose of this study is to implement an automated image processing tool for the quantification of the myocardial perfusion from perfusion MRI. First, due to the respiratory motion of patient during acquisition, image registration is performed to accurately quantify the response of the myocardium to a blood contrast agent injection. Then, partial volume is corrected in mixed voxels containing both myocardium tissue and blood. This partial volume effect, has to be taken into account to avoid an overestimation of myocardial perfusion. Finally, this signal processing is applied to cardiac patients and the derived perfusion parameters are correlated to the results of thalium imaging or the coronary angiography.
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MATERIAL AND METHODS

A. Patients

Cardiac perfusion exams at rest were carried out in 10 cardiac patients (7 males, 3 females, mean age 59±13 years) with an history of myocardial infarct. In 6 patients, the myocardial infarct was related to coronary artery disease and demonstrated on thalium scintigraphy. The remaining patients (n=4) had a hypertrophic obstructive cardiomyopathy (HOCM) requesting a septal reduction by alcoolisation. This successful treatment that resulted in an effective myocardial infarct was attested during the coronary angiography. The HOCM patients were examined twice by MRI before and 2 days after treatment. An informed consent was obtained before each MR exam in agreement with the ethical rules of our institution.

B. MRI Protocol

Imaging was carried out on an Eclipse 1.5T MR system (Philips Medical Systems, Cleveland, OH) with an RFAST sequence and following parameters: TI/TR/TE 28/3.74/1.5 ms, 50 kHz bandwidth, flip angle 40°, 90°, 180° preparation pulses, and a 112x128 matrix, mean FOV 37 cm (±2 cm, according to patient’s size). A cardiac surface coil and ECG trigger were used. Eight slices (4 short-axis, and 4 long-axis) were acquired during three to six cardiac cycles, depending on patient’s heart rate, the average inter-image delay being 4 seconds (±0.5). A bolus of 0.08 mmol/kg Gd-DTPA was injected in a brachial vein followed by 10 ml of isotonic saline with a Medrad® Spectris MR injector.

C. Data analysis

1) Spatial registration

The MRI heart acquisition is triggered based, which means that the acquisition instants are synchronized with the systole or with the diastole. Nevertheless, due to the respiration, the heart is spatially moving as a function of time. This movement has to be compensated in order to accurately compute the arterial input function Cart(t) and to register the pixels located in the myocardium to compute $C_{myo}(x, y, t)$.

Many two dimensional registration methods exist. They differ in the metrics used to compare images together and in the geometric deformation they compensate. For this project, we have chosen the mutual information metrics instead of the least square one because of the contrast time variation in the image due to the contrast product flow in the
heart. The chosen geometric deformation model is given by the following relation

$$\begin{pmatrix} x' \\ y' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} z_x & s_x \\ s_y & z_y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}$$

(1)

In this relation $<x, y>$ and $<x', y'>$ are the pixel coordinates before and after transformation respectively. The affine transform parameters $z_x, z_y$ and $s_x, s_y$ describe the zoom and skewness factors respectively. These parameters are iteratively computed by an optimization process based on the Levenberg-Marquardt procedure.

2) Estimation of the arterial input function

The arterial signal $Cart(t)$ is computed by applying a spatial average filter to all the $<x, y>$ pixels belonging to the cavity’s ROI. Considering a system identification approach which consists in applying an input signal to a linear known system and observing the output signal in order to estimate the model’s parameters, $Cart(t)$ is the single input signal whereas $C_{myo}(x, y, t)$ are the multiple output signals. This System Identification Single Input Multiple Outputs (SIMO) approach allows us to compute, for each pixel located in the myocardium, a set of parameters which locally describe the perfusion process.

3) Compartmental perfusion model

In this work, two perfusion models will be investigated, a single compartmental model with and without partial volume. These two models are linear and are described by simple differential equations with constant coefficients.

The one compartmental model describing the myocardium includes capillaries, interstitium, and cells, as a single compartment\(^1\). This model is well suited to describe the extravascular diffusion of Gd-DTPA, which has no cellular distribution and no metabolism \(^13\). Two parameters were used for the fit, $K1$ and $K2$, the first-order transfer constants from the left ventricular blood to the myocardium and from the myocardium back to the veins (in milliliters per minute per gram), according to the following equation and a tissue density of 1.04 g tissue/ml tissue \(^10\):

$$\dot{C}_{myo}(t) = K1C_{art}(t) - K2C_{myo}(t)$$

(2)

where $C_{myo}(t)$ is the relative signal intensity in the myocardial compartment and $Cart(t)$ the relative signal intensity in the blood of the left ventricle. The solution of Eq (2) has already been described for myocardial perfusion quantification by MRI \(^5, 14\). The fraction volume of distribution of Gd-DTPA in the myocardium is defined as:

$$Vd = \frac{K1}{K2} \left(1 - Hct\right)$$

(3)

$Hct$ being the hematocrit value (set to a constant value of 45%).

In the Laplace domain, the equation (2) corresponds to the following transfer function containing 1 real pole:

$$H(s) = \frac{K1/K2}{1 + s/K2}$$

(4)

The following bloc diagram describes this relation (Fig. 1):

![Fig. 1: This block diagram describes a one compartmental model without partial volume.](image)

This model is accurate if the considered myocardium pixels are not located too close to the cavity because of the effect of the partial volume which represent the combined influence of the cavity and the myocardium. A better model, which takes into account the partial volume is described by the following equation.

$$C_{myoPV} = \alpha C_{myo} + (1 - \alpha)C_{art}$$

(5)

In the Laplace domain, the equation (5) corresponds to the following transfer function containing one real pole and one real zero:

$$H_{pv}(s) = \frac{K1 + T_s}{1 + T_p s}$$

(6)

The following bloc diagram describes this relation (Fig. 2):

![Fig. 2: This block diagram describes a one compartemental model with partial volume.](image)

For each of these models, the unknown parameters are estimated by minimizing $J$ which is the norm of the difference between the real $C_{myo}(x, y, t)$ data and the estimated one. This estimation is performed by using an optimization approach \(^15\).

II. RESULTS

1) Spatial registration

The figure 3 illustrates the noise cleaning effect of the registration process. It shows the standard deviation value computed at each time on a one pixel wide ring belonging to the myocardium.

Applied on the population of this study, image registration allowed to decrease significantly signal variation $\sigma$ from 55.3 to 45.4 ($p<0.001$).
2) Model parameters identification

In the case of the model without partial volume consideration, figure 4 describes the objective function, $J(K_1, K_2)$, both for the normal and infarcted case. It comes out that the minimum of $J(K_1, K_2)$, which we are looking for, is not a point but rather a line defined by $K_1/K_2=\text{constant}$. This implies that the ratio $K_1/K_2$ will be correctly estimated but that each $K_1$ and $K_2$ can suffer a bias.

In the case of the second model, with partial volume, figure 5 describe $J(K_1, K_2, \alpha)$, both for the normal and infarcted case. It shows that the function $J_{PV}(K_1, K_2, \alpha)$ has better minimum searching features than the function $J(K_1, K_2)$ allowing a more precise estimation of $K_1$ and $K_2$ in the presence of noise.

Figure 6 shows that, for a $Cmyo(x, y, t)$ having only 10% ($\alpha=0.9$) of partial volume, the advantage of using the second model. Indeed, the first model overestimates $K_1$ and $K_2$ by approximately 80% whereas, when partial volume is corrected, the obtained values of $K_1$ and $K_2$ are very close to the theoretical values.

3) Application to patients

According to the SPECT study and the coronary angiography, 27 segments were infarcted and 123 were normal. Without partial volume consideration, the $K_1$ perfusion value in the normal region was $0.970 \pm 0.246$ ml/min/g and $0.493 \pm 0.196$ ml/min/g in the infarcted sectors. Taking into account the partial volume effect, the $K_1$ perfusion value in the normal region was $0.912 \pm 0.254$ ml/min/g and $0.472 \pm 0.186$ ml/min/g in the infarcted sectors. In both models, infarcted sector exhibited significantly lower perfusion values than normal regions ($p<0.0001$) as shown on figure 7. $K_2$ values exhibited also a significant decrease between normal ($2.47 \pm 0.80$ ml/min/g) and infarcted ($1.39 \pm 0.59$ ml/min/g) sectors ($p<0.0001$). With partial volume correction, about the same difference is observed ($2.45 \pm 0.88$ ml/min/g vs. $1.74 \pm 1.80$ ml/min/g, $p<0.01$) No differences were found in the fractional volume of distribution $Vd$ of the tracer between normal ($21.5 \pm 4.9\%$) and infarcted region ($19.7 \pm 6.9\%$) ($p=0.064$).

On normal sectors, the average partial volume fraction $(1-\alpha)$ was about 2.2% ($\alpha=0.9788$, $\min:0.8064$, $\max:1.0$) whereas in infarcted sectors $(1-\alpha)$ is about 2.78% ($\alpha=0.9722$, $\min:0.9152$, $\max:1.0$). Consequently, significant differences were found in $K_1$ values and distribution volumes in values with and without partial volume correction ($p<0.001$) (fig. 8).
This study demonstrates the use and the importance of signal processing and system identification methods in cardiac perfusion measurement. Since MR images are acquired in a short axis view at the same phase of the cardiac cycle, we need to correct the displacement of the myocardium related to the respiratory movement to guarantee an accurate pixel by pixel analysis for all dynamics. The choice of a method based on the mutual information metrics is well appropriate in cardiac MRI where the first pass of the contrast media ensures a high contrast between myocardium and left ventricle cavity.

Partial volume effect is a critical parameter of the method and has to be taken into account in the model. Indeed, myocardium voxel contamination by the arterial input function increases the response of the myocardium and consequently overestimates the perfusion value $K1$. The observed partial volume in myocardium can be explained by an insufficient spatial resolution, slices not exactly perpendicular to the myocardium, or by heart movement due to contraction and/or breathing. In normal regions, we observed about less than 3% of myocardium contamination inducing a change of 5% in $K1$ value, which is consistent with other study. The clinical application of this protocol gave perfusion value in agreement with previous studies and demonstrated that reliable parametric maps of the myocardial perfusion can be obtained.
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