

Ten reasons for using splines for signal & image processing

Michael Unser Biomedical Imaging Group EPFL, Lausanne Switzerland



Approximation et modélisation géométrique, Paris, March 2008

OUTLINE

- Introduction
- Cardinal-spline formalism
- Ten+ good reasons for using B-splines
 - Computational
 - Theoretical
 - Conceptual
 - Practical
- Application examples in image processing





Image processing task	Specific operation	Imaging modality
Tomographic reconstruction	 Filtered backprojection Fourier reconstruction Iterative techniques 3D + time 	Commercial CT (X-rays) EM PET, SPECT Dynamic CT, SPECT, PET
Sampling grid conversion	Polar-to-cartesian coordinates Spiral sampling k-space sampling Scan conversion	Ultrasound (endovascular) Spiral CT, MRI MRI
Visualization	2D operations • Zooming, panning, rotation • Re-sizing, scaling	All
	Stereo imaging Range, topography	Fundus camera OCT
	3D operations • Re-slicing • Max. intensity projection • Simulated X-ray projection	CT, MRI, MRA
	Surface/volume rendering • Iso-surface ray tracing CT • Gradient-based shading MRI • Stereogram	
Geometrical correction	Wide-angle lenses Projective mapping Aspect ratio, tilt Magnetic field distortions	Endoscopy C-Arm fluoroscopy Dental X-rays MRI
Registration	ration	fMRI, fundus camera DSA Endoscopy, fundus camera, EM microscopy Surgery, radiotherapy
		CT/PET/MRI
Feature detection	Contours Ridges Differential geometry	All
	Contour extraction • Snakes and active contours	MBL Microscopy (cytology)



General concept of an L-spline

L{·}: differential operator (translation-invariant) $\delta(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^{d} \delta(x_i)$: multidimensional Dirac distribution

Definition

The continuous-domain function s(x) is a *cardinal L-spline* iff.

$$L\{s\}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d} a[\boldsymbol{k}] \delta(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{k})$$

- Cardinality: the knots (or spline singularities) are on the (multi-)integers
 ⇒ ideal framework for signal processing
- Generalization: includes polynomial splines as particular case ($L = \frac{d^N}{dx^N}$)





Existence of a local, shift-invariant basis?

Space of cardinal L-splines

$$V_{\mathrm{L}} = \left\{ s(oldsymbol{x}) : \mathrm{L}\{s\}(oldsymbol{x}) = \sum_{oldsymbol{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d} a[oldsymbol{k}] \delta(oldsymbol{x} - oldsymbol{k})
ight\} \cap L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

Generalized B-spline representation

A "localized" function $\varphi(\boldsymbol{x}) \in V_{\mathrm{L}}$ is called *generalized B-spline* if it generates a Riesz basis of V_{L} ; i.e., iff. there exists $(A > 0, B < \infty)$ s.t.









2. Fast digital-filtering algorithms

All classical spline interpolation and approximation problems can be solved efficiently using recursive digital filtering

Interpolation problem

Given the signal samples f[k], find the B-spline coefficients c[k] such that

$$f(oldsymbol{x})|_{oldsymbol{x}=oldsymbol{k}}=f[oldsymbol{k}]=\sum_{oldsymbol{k}_1\in\mathbb{Z}^p}c[oldsymbol{k}_1]arphi(oldsymbol{k}-oldsymbol{k}_1)$$

 \Rightarrow Inverse filtering solution

 $f[\mathbf{k}] \longrightarrow c[\mathbf{k}] = (h_{\text{int}} * f)[\mathbf{k}] \quad \text{with} \quad H_{\text{int}}(\mathbf{z}) = \frac{1}{B(\mathbf{z})} = \frac{1}{\sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^p} \varphi(\mathbf{k}) \mathbf{z}^{-\mathbf{k}}}$

Note: $\varphi(\boldsymbol{x})$ separable \Rightarrow $h_{\mathrm{int}}[\boldsymbol{k}]$ separable

Reference: B-spline signal processing (Unser, IEEE-SP 1993)





4. Link with system theory: C-to-D converters

Exponential B-splines = the mathematical translators between continuous-time and discrete-time LSI system theories







<section-header><section-header><section-header>















9. Link with stochastic processes

Splines are in direct correspondence with stochastic processes (stationary or fractals) that are solution of the same partial differential equation, but with a random driving term.

Defining operator equation: $L\{s(\cdot)\}(\boldsymbol{x}) = r(\boldsymbol{x})$

Specific driving terms

 $\label{eq:radius} r({\pmb x}) = \delta(x) \qquad \qquad \Rightarrow \quad s({\pmb x}) = {\rm L}^{-1}\{\delta\}({\pmb x}) : \mbox{Green function}$

- $\mathbf{r}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d} a[\boldsymbol{k}] \delta(\boldsymbol{x} \boldsymbol{k}) \qquad \Rightarrow \quad s(\boldsymbol{x}) : \text{Cardinal L-spline}$
- $\mathbf{z} r(\mathbf{x})$: white Gaussian noise $\Rightarrow s(\mathbf{x})$: generalized stochastic process

non-empty null space of L, boundary conditions

References: stationary proc. (Unser, IEEE-SP 2006), fractals (Blu, IEEE-SP 2007)



10. Link with estimation theory

Smoothing splines are minimum-mean-square-error estimators (e.g., hybrid Wiener filters) for a corresponding class of stochastic processes (stationary and fractal)

Measurement model: $f[\mathbf{k}] = s(\mathbf{x})|_{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{k}} + n[\mathbf{k}]$

s(\boldsymbol{x}): realization of a Gaussian stationary or fractal (fBm) process s.t.

$$E\left[\mathrm{Ls}(\boldsymbol{x}_1)\cdot\mathrm{Ls}(\boldsymbol{x}_2)
ight]=\sigma_0^2\,\delta(\boldsymbol{x}_1-\boldsymbol{x}_2)$$
 (whitening operator L)

 $\blacksquare n[{\pmb k}]$: white Gaussian noise with variance σ^2

MMSE spline estimator of signal s(x):

$$E\left[s(oldsymbol{x})|f
ight] = \sum_{oldsymbol{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^d} (h_\lambda*f)[oldsymbol{k}] \, arphi_{\mathrm{L}^*\mathrm{L}}(oldsymbol{x}-oldsymbol{k})$$

 $\varphi_{L^*L}(\boldsymbol{x})$: L*L-spline generator $h_{\lambda}[\boldsymbol{k}]$: smoothing spline filter $\lambda = \sigma^2/\sigma_0^2$: regularization factor

References: stationary proc. (Unser, IEEE-SP 2006), fBm (Blu, IEEE-SP 2007)

1-30





Spline approximation: LS resizing

Approximation at arbitrary scales: differential approach using splines





$$a = 1 \rightarrow 10$$

Minimum error approximation (orthogonal projection)

$$f_a(x) = \arg\min_{c_a} \|f(x) - \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_a[k] \beta^n (x/a - k) \|_{L_2(\mathbb{R})}^2$$

1-33







Elastic registration problem

Find a diffeomorphism (warping): $x \to \mathbf{g}(x)$ such that $f_{\mathrm{S}}(\mathbf{g}(x)) \approx f_{\mathrm{T}}(x)$

- $f_{\mathrm{S}}({m{x}})$: source image
- $f_{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{x})$: target image (or reference)
- $\mathbf{g}(oldsymbol{x}) = \mathbf{g}(oldsymbol{x}|oldsymbol{\Theta})$: parametric deformation map
- Problem constraints
 - Similarity measure to compare images
 - Smooth deformation field (regularization)
 - Parametric model (for better efficiency)
 - Optional specification of landmarks: $x_{
 m S}^{(n)}
 ightarrow x_{
 m T}^{(n)}$





1-37

Cubic-spline deformation map

Transformed image: $f_{\rm S}\left(\mathbf{g}(\boldsymbol{x}|\boldsymbol{\Theta}_h)\right)$

Deformation map: $\mathbf{g}(\boldsymbol{x}|\boldsymbol{\Theta}_h) = \begin{pmatrix} g_1(\boldsymbol{x}) \\ g_2(\boldsymbol{x}) \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \begin{pmatrix} c_1[\boldsymbol{k}] \\ c_2[\boldsymbol{k}] \end{pmatrix} \beta^3 \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{x}}{h} - \boldsymbol{k} \right)$



- Parametric model (control points) $\Theta_h = (\cdots, c_1[k, l], c_2[k, l], \cdots)$
- \blacksquare Resolution controlled by mesh size h
- Smooth deformation (cubic splines)
- Rich variety of spatial mappings, including rigid body, affine, etc.

Registration as an optimization problem

$$\begin{split} f_{\rm S}(\boldsymbol{x}) &\to f_{\rm S}\left(\mathbf{g}(\boldsymbol{x}|\boldsymbol{\Theta}_{\rm opt})\right) \quad \text{where} \quad \boldsymbol{\Theta}_{\rm opt} = \arg\min_{\boldsymbol{\Theta}} \left\{ E_{\rm reg}(f_{\rm S}, f_{\rm T}, \boldsymbol{\Theta}) \right\} \\ E_{\rm reg}(f_{\rm S}, f_{\rm T}, \boldsymbol{\Theta}) &= E_{\rm image}(f_{\rm S}, f_{\rm T}, \boldsymbol{\Theta}) + E_{\rm rough}(\boldsymbol{\Theta}) + E_{\rm landmark}(\boldsymbol{\Theta}) \end{split}$$

Least-squares similarity criterion

$$E_{\text{image}}(f_{\text{S}}, f_{\text{T}}, \boldsymbol{\Theta}) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}} |f_{\text{S}}(\mathbf{g}(\boldsymbol{k}|\boldsymbol{\Theta})) - f_{\text{T}}[\boldsymbol{k}]|^2$$

Vector-spline roughness penalty

$$E_{\text{rough}}(\boldsymbol{\Theta}) = \lambda_{\text{div}} \left\| \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\text{div}} \mathbf{g}(\boldsymbol{x}|\boldsymbol{\Theta}) \right\|_{L_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{2})}^{2} + \lambda_{\text{rot}} \left\| \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\text{rot}} \mathbf{g}(\boldsymbol{x}|\boldsymbol{\Theta}) \right\|_{L_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{2})}^{2}$$

Landmark contraints:
$$\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathrm{S}}^{(n)} \to \boldsymbol{x}_{\mathrm{T}}^{(n)}$$

 $E_{\mathrm{landmark}}(\boldsymbol{\Theta}) = \frac{\lambda}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left\| \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{S}}^{(n)} | \boldsymbol{\Theta}) - \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{T}}^{(n)} \right\|^{2}$

1-39

UnwarpJ: Implementation details

- Continuous image representation
 - cubic splines

- Consistent implementation
 - analytical derivatives
 - multilevel B-spline discretization
- Quasi-Newton optimization
 - exact gradient of criterion
- Full multiresolution strategy
 - coarse-to-fine on images
 - coarse-to-fine on deformation



Image: 256x256 Pix/knot: 32x32 E: 23.055









CONCLUSION

- B-splines are attractive computationally
 - Simple to manipulate; smooth and well-behaved
 - Fast recursive filtering algorithms (O(1) per sample)
 - Multiresolution properties (pyramid, multigrid, wavelets)
- Splines: a unifying conceptual framework
 - Approximation theory
 - Link with wavelet theory
 - Signals and systems, sampling theory
 - Stochastic processes; regularization and estimation theories
- Practical Hilbert-space framework (SP counterpart of FE) for continuous/discrete image processing
 - "Think analog, act digital"
 - Toolbox: digital filters, convolution operators
 - Flexibility: piecewise-constant to bandlimited

Acknowledgments

Many thanks to

- Prof. Akram Aldroubi
- Prof. Thierry Blu
- Prof. Murray Eden
- Dr. Philippe Thévenaz
- Dr. Dimitri Van De Ville
- Annette Unser, Artist
- + many other researchers, and graduate students



Bibliography

Selected papers

- M. Unser, "Splines: A Perfect Fit for Signal and Image Processing," IEEE Signal Processing Magazine, vol. 16, no. 6, pp. 22-38, 1999.
- P. Thévenaz, T. Blu, M. Unser, "Interpolation Revisited," *IEEE Trans. Medical Imaging*, vol. 19, no. 7, pp. 739-758, July 2000.
- M. Unser, "Sampling—50 Years After Shannon," Proceedings of the IEEE, vol. 88, no. 4, pp. 569-587, April 2000.
- M. Unser, T. Blu, "Wavelet Theory Demystified," *IEEE Trans. Signal Processing*, vol. 51, no. 2, pp. 470-483, 2003.
- M. Unser, "Cardinal Exponential Splines: Part II—Think Analog, Act Digital," *IEEE Trans. Signal Processing*, vol. 53, no. 4, pp. 1425-1438, April 2005.
- Preprints and demos: <u>http://bigwww.epfl.ch/</u>