

# Sampling and interpolation for biomedical imaging: Part I

Michael Unser Biomedical Imaging Group EPFL, Lausanne Switzerland



ISBI 2006, Tutorial, Washington DC, April 2006



| age processing task           | Specific operation   | Imaging modality  |
|-------------------------------|--|---|
| Tomographic<br>reconstruction | Filtered backprojection     Fourier reconstruction     Iterative techniques     3D + time  | Commercial CT (X-rays)<br>EM<br>PET, SPECT<br>Dynamic CT, SPECT, PET  |
| Sampling grid<br>conversion   | Polar-to-cartesian coordinates     Spiral sampling     k-space sampling     Scan conversion  | Ultrasound (endovascular)<br>Spiral CT, MRI<br>MRI  |
| Visualization                 | 2D operations<br>• Zooming, panning, rotation<br>• Re-sizing, scaling  | All   |
|                               | Stereo imaging     Range, topography   | Fundus camera<br>OCT  |
|                               | 3D operations<br>• Re-slicing<br>• Max. intensity projection<br>• Simulated X-ray projection   | CT, MRI, MRA  |
|                               | Surface/volume rendering <ul> <li>Iso-surface ray tracing</li> <li>Gradient-based shading</li> <li>Stereogram</li> </ul>   | CT<br>MRI   |
| Geometrical correction        | Wide-angle lenses     Projective mapping     Aspect ratio, tilt     Magnetic field distortions   | Endoscopy<br>C-Arm fluoroscopy<br>Dental X-rays<br>MRI  |
| Registration                  | Motion compensation     Image subtraction     Mosaicking     Correlation-averaging     Patient positioning     Patrospective comparisons     Multi-modality imaging     Stereotactic normalization     Brain warping | fMRI, fundus camera<br>DSA<br>Endoscopy, fundus camera,<br>EM microscopy<br>Surgery, radiotherapy<br>CT/PET/MRI |
| Feature detection             | Contours     Ridges     Differential geometry  | All   |
|                               | Contour extraction<br>• Snakes and active contours   | MBI. Microscopy (cytology)  |















#### **Riesz basis**

**Definition**: Let  $V = \operatorname{span}\{\varphi_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  be a subspace of a Hilbert space H. Then,  $\{\varphi_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is a Riesz basis of V iff. there exist two constants A > 0 and  $B < +\infty$  s.t.

$$\forall c \in \ell_2, \ A \cdot \|c\|_{\ell_2} \le \underbrace{\left\|\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_k \varphi_k\right\|_H}_{\|f\|_H} \le B \cdot \|c\|_{\ell_2}$$

Unique representation of a function  $f \in V$ :  $f = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_k \varphi_k$ 

Properties

 $\blacksquare$  Linear independence Consequence of lower Riesz bound:  $f=0 \Rightarrow c_k=0$ 

- Stability Perturbation:  $c + \Delta c \longrightarrow f + \Delta f$ Consequence of upper Riccz bound:  $\|\Delta c\|_{c}$  bounds
  - Consequence of upper Riesz bound:  $\|\Delta c\|_{\ell_2}$  bounded  $\Rightarrow \|\Delta f\|_H$  bounded
- Norm equivalence The basis is orthonormal iff. A = B = 1, in which case,  $||c||_{\ell_2} = ||f||_H$

1-11

### **Shift-invariant spaces**

Integer-shift-invariant subspace associated with a generating function  $\varphi$  (e.g. B-spline):

$$V(arphi) = \left\{ f(oldsymbol{x}) = \sum_{oldsymbol{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^p} c[oldsymbol{k}] arphi(oldsymbol{x} - oldsymbol{k}) : c \in \ell_2(\mathbb{Z}^p) 
ight\}$$

 $\text{Generating function:} \quad \varphi(\boldsymbol{x}) \qquad \stackrel{\mathcal{F}}{\longleftrightarrow} \qquad \hat{\varphi}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) = \int_{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^p} \varphi(\boldsymbol{x}) e^{-j \langle \boldsymbol{\omega}, \boldsymbol{x} \rangle} \mathrm{d} x_1 \cdots \mathrm{d} x_p$ 

**Proposition**.  $V(\varphi)$  is a subspace of  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^p)$  with  $\{\varphi(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{k})\}_{\boldsymbol{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^p}$  as its Riesz basis iff.

$$0 < A^2 \le \sum_{oldsymbol{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^p} |\hat{arphi}(oldsymbol{\omega} + 2\pioldsymbol{n})|^2 \le B^2 < +\infty$$
 (almost everywhere)

Hint for the proof (in 1D):

$$\begin{split} \|c\|_{\ell_{2}}^{2} &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} |C(e^{j\omega})|^{2} \mathrm{d}\omega \quad \text{(Parseval)} \\ \|f\|_{L_{2}}^{2} &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\omega \in \mathbb{R}} |C(e^{j\omega})|^{2} |\hat{\varphi}(\omega)|^{2} \mathrm{d}\omega \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{0}^{2\pi} |C(e^{j\omega})|^{2} |\hat{\varphi}(\omega + 2\pi n)|^{2} \mathrm{d}\omega = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} |C(e^{j\omega})|^{2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{\varphi}(\omega + 2\pi n)|^{2} \mathrm{d}\omega \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{0}^{2\pi} |C(e^{j\omega})|^{2} |\hat{\varphi}(\omega + 2\pi n)|^{2} \mathrm{d}\omega = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} |C(e^{j\omega})|^{2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{\varphi}(\omega + 2\pi n)|^{2} \mathrm{d}\omega \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{\varphi}(\omega + 2\pi n)|^{2} \mathrm{d}\omega = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} |C(e^{j\omega})|^{2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{\varphi}(\omega + 2\pi n)|^{2} \mathrm{d}\omega \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{\varphi}(\omega + 2\pi n)|^{2} \mathrm{d}\omega = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{\varphi}(\omega + 2\pi n)|^{2} \mathrm{d}\omega \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{\varphi}(\omega + 2\pi n)|^{2} \mathrm{d}\omega = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{\varphi}(\omega + 2\pi n)|^{2} \mathrm{d}\omega$$



**Classical image interpolation**Discrete image data<br/> $f[k], k = (k_1, \dots, k_p) \in \mathbb{Z}^p$ Continuous image model<br/> $f(x), x = (x_1, \dots, x_p) \in \mathbb{R}^p$ Interpolation formula: $f(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^p} f[k] \varphi_{int}(x - k)$ <br/>k = f[k]: pixel values at location k<br/> $k = \varphi_{int}(x)$ : continuous-space interpolation function<br/> $k = \varphi_{int}(x)$ : interpolation function translated to location kInterpolation conditionAt the grid points  $x = k_0$ :  $f(k_0) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^p} f[k] \varphi_{int}(k_0 - k)$ <br/> $k \in \mathbb{Z}^p$ Only possible for all f iff. $\varphi_{int}(k) = \begin{cases} 1, k = 0\\ 0, \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$ 











#### **Generic C-code** (splines of any degree *n*) Main recursion void ConvertToInterpolationCoefficients ( double c[], long DataLength, double z[], long NbPoles, double Tolerance) {double Lambda = 1.0; long n, k; if (DataLength == 1L) return; for (k = 0L; k < NbPoles; k++) Lambda = Lambda \* (1.0 - z[k]) \* (1.0 - 1.0 / z[k]); for (n = 0L; n < DataLength; n++) c[n] \*= Lambda; for (k = 0L; k < NbPoles; k++) { c[0] = InitialCausalCoefficient(c, DataLength, z[k], Tolerance); for (n = 1L; n < DataLength; n++) c[n] += z[k] \* c[n - 1L]; c[DataLength - 1L] = (z[k] / (z[k] \* z[k] - 1.0)) \* (z[k] \* c[DataLength - 2L] + c[DataLength - 1L]); for (n = DataLength - 2L; 0 <= n; n--) c[n] = z[k] \* (c[n + 1L]- c[n]); } Initialization double InitialCausalCoefficient ( double c[], long DataLength, double z, double Tolerance) { double Sum, zn, z2n, iz; long n, Horizon; Horizon = (long)ceil(log(Tolerance) / log(fabs(z))); if (DataLength < Horizon) Horizon = DataLength; zn = z; Sum = c[0]; for (n = 1L; n < Horizon; n++) {Sum += zn \* c[n]; zn \*= z;} return(Sum); } 1-20





















# <section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><image><image><image><image>









# **Multi-modal image registration**

Specificities of the approach

- Criterion: mutual-information
- Cubic-spline model
  - high quality
  - sub-pixel accuracy
- Multiresolution strategy
- Marquardt-Levenberg-like optimizer
  - Speed
  - Robustness



Thévenaz and Unser, IEEE Trans. Imag Proc, 2000

# CONCLUSION

- Generalized interpolation
  - Same as standard interpolation, except for a **prefiltering** step
  - Offers more flexibility
  - Best cost/performance tradeoff (splines)
  - Infinite-support interpolator at finite cost
- Special case of polynomial splines
  - Simple to manipulate
  - Smooth and well-behaved
  - Excellent approximation properties
  - Multiresolution properties
- Unifying formulation for continuous/discrete image processing
  - Tools: digital filters, convolution operators
  - Efficient recursive filtering solutions
  - Flexibility: piecewise-constant to bandlimited

1-37

#### Splines: the end of the tunnel Survey article on interpolation, IEEE TMI, 2000 Comparison of 31 interpolation algorithms: "It [the cubic B-spline interpolator] produces one of the best results in terms of similarity to the original images, and of the top methods, it runs fastest." Addendum on spline interpolation, *IEEE TMI*, 2001 "Therefore, high-degree B-splines are preferable interpolators for numerous applications in medical imaging, particularly if high precision is required." (Lehmann et al) Recent evaluation of interpolation, Med. Image Anal., 2001 Comparison of 126 interpolation algorithms: "The results show that spline interpolation is to be preferred over all other methods, both for its accuracy and its relatively low cost." (Meijering et al)

# Acknowledgments

#### Many thanks to

- Dr. Thierry Blu
- Prof. Akram Aldroubi
- Prof. Murray Eden
- Dr. Philippe Thévenaz
- Annette Unser, Artist
- + many other researchers, and graduate students



# Bibliography

#### Spline tutorial

 M. Unser, "Splines: A Perfect Fit for Signal and Image Processing," IEEE Signal Processing Magazine, vol. 16, no. 6, pp. 22-38, 1999.

#### Pyramids and resizing

 M. Unser, A. Aldroubi, M. Eden, "The L<sub>2</sub>-Polynomial Spline Pyramid," IEEE Trans. Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, vol. 15, no. 4, pp. 364-379, April 1993.

 A. Muñoz Barrutia, T. Blu, M. Unser, "Least-Squares Image Resizing Using Finite Differences," *IEEE Trans. Image Processing*, vol. 10, no. 9, pp. 1365-1378, September 2001.

#### Generalized interpolation

 P. Thévenaz, T. Blu, M. Unser, "Interpolation Revisited," *IEEE Trans. Medical Imaging*, vol. 19, no. 7, pp. 739-758, July 2000.

Preprints and demos: http://bigwww.epfl.ch/