# Wavelets and Advanced Biomedical Imaging

Michael Unser
Biomedical Imaging Group
Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL)
CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland
Email: Michael.Unser@epfl.ch

***Abstract*—Our purpose in this talk is to advocate the use of wavelets for advanced bioimaging. We start with a short tutorial on wavelet bases, emphasizing the fact that they provide a concise multiresolution representation of images and that they can be computed most efficiently. We then discuss a simple but remarkably effective image-denoising procedure that essentially amounts to discarding small wavelet coefficients (soft-thresholding); we show that this type of algorithm is the solution of a variational problem that promotes sparse solutions. We argue that the underlying principle of wavelet regularization is a powerful concept that can be used advantageously in a variety of inverse image-reconstruction problems, including MRI and computed tomography. We illustrate our point by presenting a novel wavelet-based deconvolution algorithm for 3-D fluorescence microscopy, as well as some preliminary results for dynamic PET reconstruction. We will also discuss wavelet techniques for the analysis of functional MRI data and optical microscopy (extended depth of field).**

***Index Terms*—Wavelets, sparsity, denoising, soft-thresholding, regularization, deconvolution, image reconstruction**

## I. Introduction

Wavelets are a powerful way of decomposing signals or images into their elementary constituents across scales (multiresolution decomposition). They provide a one-to-one representation (orthogonal transform) in very much the same way as the Fourier transform does, except that the basis functions are localized in both space (or time) and frequency. Wavelets have many remarkable properties and are extremely versatile. It is therefore no surprise that they have been applied to a variety of problems in biomedical imaging, often with good success [1], [2]. The property that is often emphasized in current applications is their ability to yield sparse representations of piecewise-smooth signals and images.

Rather than providing a partial account of the plenary presentation whose content we just summarized above, we have chosen to give in this brief some pointers to the relevant literature, together with some personal remarks.

*1) Introduction to wavelets:* Among the many books available, we recommend the ones who were written by the pioneers of the field; in particular, Stéphane Mallat [3] and Ingrid Daubechies [4]. The reader who wants to dive into the more theoretical aspects of wavelets may be interested in [5], which has the advantage of being self-contained. At the other end of the scale of complexity, we mention a low-level introduction in the form of a humorous dialog between father and son [6].

*2) Wavelet denoising by soft-thresholding:* A not-so-well-known fact is that this approach was pioneered by Weaver *et al.* in the context of magnetic resonance imaging [7]. The technique is usually attributed to Donoho who gave a rigorous statistical justification and was very proactive in terms of promotion and software diffusion [8], [9]. Interestingly, it took quite a few years until Chambolle *et al.* finally showed that the algorithm solves a penalized least-squares problem with a wavelet-domain $\ell_1$-regularization [10]. Another important point, which is also central to the field of "compressed sensing," is that the $\ell_1$-norm is a good (convex) proxy for the $\ell_0$-norm—the latter simply counts the number of non-zero coefficients. It is also known that one can solve the basic denoising/approximation problem with an $\ell_0$ penalty in any orthonormal basis by the straightforward application of a hard threshold in the transformed domain; unfortunately, this type of $\ell_0$ result is much harder to generalize because the corresponding criterion is non-convex.

It should also be mentioned that better performance for image denoising can be obtained by adapting the wavelet-domain non-linearity to the type of noise and to the information content of the image [11], [12], [13].

*3) Image reconstruction by iterative thresholding:* This powerful algorithm was discovered by engineers who noted that they could improve traditional gradient-based iterative image-reconstruction schemes by inserting a wavelet-denoising step in the feedback correction loop (denoising of the residual). Figueireido and Nowak were among the first to provide a rigorous statistical justification of the method within the EM framework [14]. Daubechies, De Frise and De Mol took a general deterministic variational point of view ($\ell_1$-regularized least-squares inverse problem) and were able to prove the convergence of the algorithm (often termed $D^3$) under relatively mild conditions [15]. While several variants and generalization of the technique are now available, researchers are still working hard on finding ways to accelerate the convergence. The increasing interest in this type of convex optimization problem is also a consequence of the popularity of "compressed sensing".

*4) Wavelet regularization in biomedical imaging:* A challenging application is 3-D fluorescence deconvolution, mainly because of the huge data size [16]. Making the wavelet approach practical requires the development of an effective divide-and-conquer acceleration strategy.

While wavelet regularization is usually applied in the space domain, it can be extended to the time domain for dynamic imaging. An interesting application is the reconstruction of dynamic PET which can be improved by combining spatial

978–1–4244–2287–6/08/$25.00 © 2008 IEEE

wavelets with exponential splines that are specially tailored to the time-domain characteristics of the activity curves [17].

Other applications that are briefly reviewed are: wavelet-based image fusion for extended depth of focus [18], and a general framework for the statistical analysis of functional imaging data [19], [20], [21].

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author is thankful to the various members of EPFL's Biomedical Imaging Group for their significant contribution to the research that is covered in this presentation.

## REFERENCES

[1] M. Unser and A. Aldroubi, "A review of wavelets in biomedical applications," *Proceedings of the IEEE*, vol. 84, no. 4, pp. 626–638, April 1996.

[2] A. Laine, "Wavelets in temporal and spatial processing of biomedical images," *Annual Review in Biomedical Engineering*, vol. 2, pp. 511–550, 2000.

[3] S. Mallat, *A wavelet tour of signal processing*. San Diego: Academic Press, 1998.

[4] I. Daubechies, *Ten lectures on wavelets*. Philadelphia, PA: Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, 1992.

[5] M. Unser and T. Blu, "Wavelet theory demystified," *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 51, no. 2, pp. 470–483, February 2003.

[6] M. Unser and M. Unser, "Wavelet games," *The Wavelet Digest*, vol. 11, no. 4, April 1, 2003. [Online]. Available: http://www.wavelet.org/phpBB2/viewtopic.php?t=5129

[7] J. B. Weaver, X. Yansun, J. Healy, D. M., and L. D. Cromwell, "Filtering noise from images with wavelet transforms," *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 288–95, 1991.

[8] D. Donoho, "De-noising by soft-thresholding," *IEEE Trans. Information Theory*, vol. 41, no. 3, pp. 613–627, 1995.

[9] D. L. Donoho and I. M. Johnstone, "Ideal spatial adaptation via wavelet shrinkage," *Biometrika*, vol. 81, pp. 425–455, 1994.

[10] A. Chambolle, R. DeVore, N.-Y. Lee, and B. Lucier, "Nonlinear wavelet image processing: Variational problems, compression, and noise removal through wavelet shrinkage," *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, vol. 7, no. 33, pp. 319–335, 1998.

[11] J. Portilla, V. Strela, M. J. Wainwright, and E. P. Simoncelli, "Image Denoising using Scale Mixtures of Gaussians in the Wavelet Domain," *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, vol. 12, no. 11, pp. 1338–1351, November 2003.

[12] T. Blu and M. Unser, "Self-similarity: Part II—Optimal estimation of fractal processes," *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol. 55, no. 4, pp. 1364–1378, April 2007.

[13] F. Luisier, T. Blu, and M. Unser, "A new SURE approach to image denoising: Interscale orthonormal wavelet thresholding," *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 593–606, March 2007.

[14] M. A. T. Figueiredo and R. D. Nowak, "An EM algorithm for wavelet-based image restoration," *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, vol. 12, no. 8, pp. 906–916, 2003.

[15] I. Daubechies, M. Defrise, and C. De Mol, "An iterative thresholding algorithm for linear inverse problems with a sparsity constraint," *Comm. Pure Appl. Math*, vol. 57, pp. 1413–1457, 2004.

[16] C. Vonesch and M. Unser, "A fast thresholded Landweber algorithm for wavelet-regularized multidimensional deconvolution," *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, vol. 17, no. 4, pp. 539–549, April 2008.

[17] J. Verhaeghe, D. Van De Ville, I. Khalidov, Y. D'Asseler, I. Lemahieu, and M. Unser, "Dynamic PET reconstruction using wavelet regularization with adapted basis functions," *IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging*, vol. 27, no. 7, pp. 943–959, 2008.

[18] B. Forster, D. Van De Ville, J. Berent, D. Sage, and M. Unser, "Complex wavelets for extended depth-of-field: A new method for the fusion of multichannel microscopy images," *Microscopy Research and Technique*, vol. 65, no. 1-2, pp. 33–42, September 2004.

[19] D. Van De Ville, T. Blu, and M. Unser, "Integrated wavelet processing and spatial statistical testing of fMRI data," *NeuroImage*, vol. 23, no. 4, pp. 1472–1485, December 2004.

[20] ——, "Surfing the brain—An overview of wavelet-based techniques for fMRI data analysis," *IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Magazine*, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 65–78, March-April 2006.

[21] D. Van De Ville, M. Seghier, F. Lazeyras, T. Blu, and M. Unser, "WSPM: Wavelet-based statistical parametric mapping," *NeuroImage*, vol. 37, no. 4, pp. 1205–1217, October 1, 2007.